# AGENDA <br> TANEY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION <br> PUBLIC HEARING <br> MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2016, 6:00 P.M. COUNTY COMMISSION HEARING ROOM <br> TANEY COUNTY COURTHOUSE 

Call to Order:<br>Establishment of Quorum<br>Explanation of Meeting Procedures<br>Presentation of Exhibits

Public Hearinas:
Snowden Heating and Air, LLC
My Wildwood Cottage - Withdrawn
MO-15 Powersite Tower

Old and New Business:
Amendment discussion

Adiournment.

# TANEY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION DIVISION III PERMIT STAFF REPORT 

HEARING DATE:

CASE NUMBER:
PROJECT:

APPLICANTS:

LOCATION:

## REQUEST:

December 12, 2016
2016-0026
Snowden Heating \& Air Warehouse and Sheet Metal Shop

Larry \& Pamela Snowden
The subject property is located at 8296 State Highway 248, Branson, MO; Branson Township; Section 35, Township 24, Range 22.

The applicants, Larry \& Pamela Snowden are requesting the approval of a Division III Permit authorizing the construction of a 32 ' x 60' metal building that will serve as a warehouse and sheet metal shop for the applicants' existing heating and air conditioning business.

## BACKGROUND and SITE HISTORY:

The subject property is currently a +/- 1.17 acre vacant, meets \& bounds described tract of land, located at 8296 State Highway 248 , Branson, MO. The property previously contained a residence and accessory structures which have now all been demolished and removed from the property. The staff is of the understanding that the property contained a country store in the 1960's.

The current application was approved for Concept on November 21, 2016.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

The applicants, Larry \& Pamela Snowden are proposing to construct a 32' x 60' $(1,920$ Square foot) metal building, which will serve as a warehouse and sheet metal shop for the applicant's existing, HVAC business (Snowden Heating \& Air). The applicants have indicated that they do not plan to have a storefront at this location.

## REVIEW:

The proposed Snowden Heating \& Air warehouse and sheet metal shop building will be served by the existing circle driveway off of State Highway 248. Since the applicants will not have a storefront at this location, the vast majority of the traffic generated at this location will be primarily pickups and deliveries of HVAC supplies and will not involve a showroom or storefront that will cater to the general public.

Pursuant to the provisions of Appendix J (On-Site Parking and Loading) of the Development Guidance Code this warehouse and sheet metal shop building would most closely fit the provisions for industrial uses. Industrial uses require, "1 space for every employee, spaces for all company-owned vehicles, adequate space for salemen, visitors, etc. Adequate loading areas and holding areas for vehicles awaiting loading/unloading."

The applicants have indicated that the warehouse and sheet metal shop building will not have a storefront and therefore also won't be served by a restroom at this time. The property does contain an existing well and public water would likely be available in the future via Taney County Public Water Supply District \# 3. If a restroom is installed in the future it will be served by an onsite wastewater treatment system.

The proposed building will not exceed the impervious surface limitations of Table H-1 (Impervious Cover Limitations Table) of the Development Guidance Code on the +/1.17 acre tract, therefore a stormwater management plan will not be required.

The only area that the applicants plan to grade at this time is essentially the $32^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$ ( 1,920 Square foot) building footprint, which is far less than the one (1) acre area requiring a Land Disturbance Permit.

The adjoining property immediately to the north is primarily vacant and light residential properties. The adjoining property immediately to the south is vacant lots within the Emory Creek Ranch Commercial Subdivision. The adjoining property immediately to the east is predominantly vacant. The adjoining property to the west State Highway 248 , with light residential and vacant properties located further to the west.

The project received a score of -12 on the Policy Checklist, out of a maximum possible score of 57 . The relative policies receiving negative scores consist of emergency water supply, stormwater drainage, use compatibility and utilities.

## SUMMARY:

If the Taney County Planning Commission approves this request, the following requirements shall apply, unless revised by the Planning Commission:

1. Compliance with the provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code that include plans for the following:
a. Utility easements and building line setbacks (Table 12).
b. Improvements with scale of buildings, streets, onsite parking and utilities (Table 6).
2. A Compliance letter from the Western Taney County Fire Protection District shall be submitted to the Planning Department Office, including all other entities which have requirements governing a development of this nature (Chapter VI-VII).
3. No outside storage of equipment or solid waste materials.
4. This decision is subject to all existing easements.
5. Division II Permits shall be required for all applicable structures in the development (Chapter 3, Section 1, Item B).
6. Prior to the issuance of Division II Certificates of Conformance (C of Cs), the developer shall first present a Final Inspection from the Western Taney County Fire Protection District to the Taney County Planning Department Office.
7. This Decision of Record shall be filed with the Taney County Recorder of Deeds Office within 120 days or the approval shall expire (Chapter 2, Item 6).


## Snowden Heating \& Air LLC Warehouse and Shop <br> <br> Division III Relative Policy Scoring Sheet: <br> <br> Division III Relative Policy Scoring Sheet: Eastern Taney County

 Eastern Taney County}

| Snowden Heating \& Air LLC Warehouse and Shop | Permit\#: |  | 16-26 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division III Relative Policy Scoring Sheet: Eastern Taney County |  |  |  | ¢ | 응 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 은 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT \& VENTS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ | x |  |  |  |
| no rooftop equipment / vents or blocked from view by structure design or screening |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF SOLID WASTE CONTAINERS | n/a= | x |  |  |  |
| no on-site waste containers or blocked from view by structure design or screening |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF OUTDOOR EQUIP, STORAGE, ETC. | n/a= | x |  |  |  |
| no outdoor storage of equipment, materials, etc., or outdoor work areas |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| blocked from view by structure design |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| blocked from view using screening |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| LANDSCAPED BUFFERS -- RESIDENTIAL | n/a= | x |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer between homes and all streets / roads / highways |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer from major roads / highways only |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffer, but compensates with expanse of land |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer between residences and local streets |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer from any road |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| LANDSCAPED BUFFERS - INDUSTRIAL | n/a= | x |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer from public roads |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffer, but compensates with expanse of land |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer from public roads |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| Local Economic Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGRICULTURAL LANDS | n/a= |  |  |  |  |
| no conversion of Class I-IV agricultural land to other use(s) |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| development requires reclassification of Class I-IV agricultural land to other use(s) |  | -2 |  | 0 |  |
| RIGHT TO FARM | n/a= |  |  |  |  |
| does not limit existing agricultural uses / does not cause nuisance, predation |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| does not limit existing agricultural uses, but may result in minor nuisance |  | -1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| potential impact(s) on existing agricultural land |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| RIGHT TO OPERATE | n/a= | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| no viable impact on existing industrial uses by residential development |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| potential impact but can be mitigated |  | -1 | 2 |  |  |
| potential impact on existing industrial uses with no mitigation |  | -2 |  |  |  |





## Project: Snowden Heating \& Air LLC Warehouse and Shop

## Permit\#: 16-26

|  | Policies Receiving a Negative Score |
| :--- | :--- |
|  emportance <br> Factor 5:  <br> Importance <br> Factor 4: stormwater drainage use compatibility utilities <br> Importance <br> Factor 3: none <br> Importance <br> Factor 2: none <br> Importance <br> Factor 1: none |  |

[^0]Date: December 1, 2016

## Project: Snowden Heating \& Air LLC Warehouse andPshmit: 16-26

|  | Max. <br> Possible | As <br> Scored | $\%$ | Total Negative Scores |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scoring | 57 | -12 | $-21.1 \%$ | 4 | $25.0 \%$ |


|  | Max. Possible | As Scored | Negative Scores |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of | Percent |
| Importance Factor 5 | 5 | -10 | 1 | 50.0\% |
| sewage disposal |  |  |  |  |
| right-of-way / roads | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| emergency water supply | 0 | -10 |  |  |
| waste disposal service |  |  |  |  |
| waste disposal commitment |  |  |  |  |
| Importance Factor 4 | 40 | -8 | 3 | 37.5\% |
| stormwater drainage | 8 | -4 |  |  |
| air quality | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| off-site nuisances | 8 | 0 |  |  |
| use compatibility | 0 | -4 |  |  |
| diversification | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| development buffering | 8 | 0 |  |  |
| utilities | 0 | -4 |  |  |
| pedestrian circulation |  |  |  |  |
| underground utilities | 8 | 0 |  |  |
| Importance Factor 3 | 12 | 6 |  |  |
| preservation of critical areas | 6 | 6 |  |  |
| screening of rooftop equip |  |  |  |  |
| screening / waste containers |  |  |  |  |
| screening of outdoor equip |  |  |  |  |
| industrial landscape buffers |  |  |  |  |
| right to farm | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| mixed-use developments |  |  |  |  |
| emergency services | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| water systems | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| Importance Factor 2 |  |  |  |  |
| residential landscape buffers |  |  |  |  |
| right to operate |  |  |  |  |
| residential privacy |  |  |  |  |
| traffic | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| pedestrian safety |  |  |  |  |
| usable open space |  |  |  |  |
| Importance Factor 1 |  |  |  |  |
| agricultural lands | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| bicycle circulation |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Date:
December 1, 2016

Snowden Heating \& Air LLC











 <br> \title{
TANEY COUNTY <br> \title{
TANEY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION PLANNING COMMISSION DIVISION III SPECIAL-USE PERMIT STAFF REPORT
}

HEARING DATE:
CASE NUMBER:
PROJECT:
APPLICANT:
REPRESENTATIVES:

## LOCATION:

REQUEST:

December 12, 2016
2016-0027

## 191 Deep Forest Nightly Rental

My Wildwood Cottage, LLC
Peter Connolly \& Kevin Hutcheson - HutchBo Holdings, LLC

The subject property is located at 191 Deep Forest Road, Hollister, MO; Oliver Township; Section 36, Township 22, Range 22.

The representatives, Peter Connolly \& Kevin Hutcheson (HutchBo Holdings, LLC) are seeking the Planning Commission approval of a Division III Special-Use Permit allowing for an existing, six (6) bedroom, single-family residence to be utilized for nightly rental. The representatives have now requested to withdraw the Division III Special-Use Permit request, as advised by the Planning Department Staff.

## BACKGROUND and SITE HISTORY:

On November 17, 2003 the Taney County Planning Commission approved Division III Permit \# 2003-0049, authorizing a mixed-use development for residential and commercial use; consisting of 281 single-family cottages and nightly rental cabins, a clubhouse and sales office, boat, RV and mini-storage, and park and open space. Condition \# 5 on Division III Permit \# 2003-0049 Decision of Record limited the development to a, "Maximum number of nightly rental cabins shall not exceed 84 units (30\%)."

On May 20, 2013 the Taney County Planning Commission denied Division III Permit Case \# 2013-0005 seeking to modify the original Division III Permit Decision of Record (\#2003-0049), allowing for an increase in the total number of permitted nightly rental units from 84 units (30\%) up to 281 permitted nightly rental condominium units (100\%), located in the 800 Block of State Highway P, Hollister, MO. The Planning Commission voted to deny this request by a unanimous vote of 8-0. The Planning Commission based its decision upon the belief that the applicant had not demonstrated the present
need for additional nightly rental units, based upon the fact that the developer has yet to construct all 84 of the nightly rental structures as previously authorized via Division III Decision of Record \# 2003-0049. The Planning Commission advised the applicant to seek the future approval of a Division III Permit upon demonstrating a need for the additional nightly rental units.

On August 21, 2013 the Taney County Board of Adjustment approved a request by Phil Lopez seeking to appeal the Planning Commission denial of Division III Permit \# 20130005, allowing for an increase in the total number of permitted nightly rental units within the Branson Canyon Condominium development from 84 units ( $30 \%$ ) up to 281 permitted nightly rental condominium units ( $100 \%$ ).

The current application was approved for Concept on November 21, 2016.

## REVIEW:

The Planning Staff has now recommended that the representatives that they withdraw their Division III Special-Use Permit application pursuant to Condition \# 2 of Appeal Decision of Record for Case \# 2013-0003A. Condition \# 2 states the following: "A Special-Use Permit shall not be required for each new nightly rental dwelling unit, so long as a valid Division II (Commercial Construction) Permit and Certificate of Compliance are issued for each these units, establishing compliance with both the provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code and the Conditions of the Decision of Record. However, the owners of all nightly rental dwelling units shall comply with all other provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code, including all other Nightly Rental Provisions."

A copy of Appeal Decision of Record for Case \# 2013-0003A has been included for your review.

| $\begin{gathered} 2013437342 \\ \text { O8/27/2013 03:50:51PM } \\ \text { REC FEE:36.00 } \\ \text { NON-STD FEE: } \\ \text { PAGES: 5 } \\ \text { REAL ESTATE DOCUMENT } \\ \text { TANEY COUNTY, MISSOURI } \\ \text { RECORDERS CERTIFICATION } \\ \text { TOLDOQ O. Din } \\ \text { ROBERT A. DIXON } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## TANEY COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT APPEAL - DECISION OF RECORD <br> APPLICANT: PHIL LOPEZ BRANSON CANYON CONDOMINIUMS - NIGHTLY RENTAL AMENDMENT AUGUST 21, 2013 <br> CASE NUMBER 2013-0003A

On August 21, 2013 the Taney County Board of Adjustment (herein after referred to as the Board) approved a request by Phil Lopez seeking to appeal the Planning Commission denial of Division III Permit \# 2013-0005, allowing for an increase in the total number of permitted nightly rental units within the Branson Canyon Condominium development from 84 units ( $30 \%$ ) up to 281 permitted nightly rental condominium units (100\%). With four (4) out of five (5) Board members present, the appeal request of Phil Lopez was approved by a vote of three (3) in favor and one (1) opposed, for the property located at the attached legal description.

## The following summarizes the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Taney County Board of Adjustment:

The Board based its decision upon the requirements of Missouri Revised Statutes, the Taney County Development Guidance Code and the Board of Adjustment Bylaws, which grant the Board the power to hear and decide appeals where it is alleged there is error of law in any order, requirement, decision or determination made by an administrative official in the enforcement of the county zoning regulations. The majority of the Board found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that there was an error of law that had been committed by the Taney County Planning Commission in the reasoning for the denial of Division III Permit \# 2013-0005. The Planning Commission based its decision to deny Division III Permit 2013-0005 upon the belief that the applicant had not demonstrated the present need for additional nightly rental units, based upon the fact that the developer has yet to construct all 84 of the nightly rental structures as previously authorized via Division III Decision of Record \# 2003-0049. The Planning Commission advised the applicant to seek the future approval of a Division III Permit upon demonstrating a need for the additional nightly rental units. The majority of the Board did not feel that this was a valid reason for denial.

Phil Lopez is authorized to develop the Branson Canyon Condominium development with up to 281 permitted nightly rental condominiums units ( $100 \%$ of the development), located in the 800 Block of State Highway P, Hollister, MO. The following conditions shall be complied with:

1. Compliance with the provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code.
2. A Special-Use Permit shall not be required for each new nightly rental dwelling unit, so long as a valid Division II (Commercial Construction) Permit and Certificate of Compliance are issued for each these units, establishing compliance with both the provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code and the Conditions of the Decision of Record. However, the owners of all nightly rental dwelling units shall comply with all other provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code, including all other Nightly Rental provisions.
3. Compliance letters from the Western Taney County Fire Protection District, the Taney County Assessor's Office, the Taney County Collector's Office and Missouri Department of Revenue; including all other entities which have requirements governing a development of this nature shall be provided to the Planning Department office (Chapter VI-VII).
4. The maximum occupancy for each Nightly Rental unit shall be two (2) persons per dwelling unit, plus two (2) persons per bedroom (e.g., a two (2) bedroom dwelling unit is permitted a maximum occupancy of six (6) persons). The maximum occupancy may be further limited by the Western Taney County Fire Protection District.
5. One (1) off-street parking space shall be provided for each two (2) persons of occupancy in each Nightly Rental unit. All Nightly Rentals shall provide clearly marked and appropriately situated parking spaces for the handicapped, one (1) such space in each parking area.
6. The management company or a waste collection provider shall provide weekly solid waste collection during all months that each of the Nightly Rental units is available for rent.
7. Outdoor lighting shall be downward lit, subdued and have minimal off-site impacts to adjoining properties.
8. All Nightly Rentals units shall contain a minimum of one operable fire extinguisher, operable smoke alarms and operable carbon monoxide alarms in compliance with the Western Taney County Fire Protection District regulations.
9. A copy of a valid Western Taney County Fire Protection District Construction Permit and Certificate of Occupancy ( C of O ) shall be submitted to the Planning Department Office for all new Nightly Rental units, prior to the issuance of each Division II Permit Certificate of Conformance (C of C).
10. An on-site manager shall be designated and located within the existing Branson Canyon Condominium Clubhouse / Sales building.
11. A name plate shall be posted within five (5) feet of the main entrance of each Nightly Rental dwelling unit, both on the inside and on the outside of the dwelling unit, containing the following information:
(a) The Division II Permit number.
(b) The name and telephone number of the on-site Branson Canyon Condominium manager.
(c) The name and address of the owner of the Nightly Rental dwelling unit .
(d) The contact information for the Planning Department and the Taney County Sheriff's Department.
(e) The maximum occupancy permitted.
(f) The name and telephone number of all local emergency personnel (police, Fire and medical personnel).
(g) The number of off-street parking spaces provided on the property, and the maximum number of vehicles allowed to be parked on the property.
(h) The solid waste disposal collection day if provided by a solid waste company.
12. No outside storage of equipment or solid waste materials.
13. This decision is subject to all existing easements.
14. This Decision of Record shall be filed with the Taney County Recorder of Deeds Office within 120 days or the approval shall expire (Chapter II Item 6).

In signing this Decision of Record, I understand that any breach in the terms of the Board of Adjustment, Decision of Record will result in the revocation of this decision. I further agree to abide by and comply with all of the requirements of the Taney County Board of Adjustment, the Taney County Planning Commission and the Taney County Development Guidance Code.

Signature:


As the Designated official for the Taney County Planning Commission, I hereby issue the foregoing document as the Decision of Record as detailed above.


Bob Atchley, Administrator
STATE OF MISSOURI)

## COUNTY OF TANEY )



Before me personally appeared Bob Atchley and Phil Lopez to me know to be the persons described in and who executed the foregoing instrument.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, at my office in Forsyth, Missouri the day and year first above written. My term of office as a Notary Public will expire on February 6, 2014.


# TANEY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION DIVISION III PERMIT STAFF REPORT 

HEARING DATE:

CASE NUMBER:
PROJECT:

APPLICANT (Property Owner): Jeff Wood
2016-0028

LOCATION:

REQUEST:

December 12, 2016

MO-15 Powersite Telecommunications Tower

James Cardinal - Fortune Wireless, Inc
The subject property is located in the 300 Block of Curtis Drive, Forsyth, MO; Swan Township; Section 5, Township 23, Range 20.

The representative, James Cardinal - Fortune Wireless, Inc. is requesting the approval of a Division III Permit, in order to allow for the development of a new wireless telecommunications facility consisting of a 190' self-support tower within a 60' $\times 55^{\prime}$ fenced compound, which is proposed to be located within a $105^{\prime} \times 110$ ' leased area.

## BACKGROUND and SITE HISTORY:

The applicant / property owner owns a total of $+/-84.55$ acres of land (per the Assessor's information as contained within Beacon) (Parcel \# 09-3.0-05-000-000081.000). However Beacon also already indicates the location of the 105' x 110' leased area, which is indicated as Parcel \# 09-3.0-05-000-000-081.007. The survey in question, indicating the 105 ' $\times 110$ ' area has been filed with the Recorder of Deeds office.

The representative, James Cardinal - Fortune Wireless, Inc is now requesting the approval of a Division III Permit, authorizing the construction of a new 190' selfsupporting tower, within a 60' x 55' fenced compound area, providing space for a total of four (4) equipment shelters or pads for equipment.

The current application was approved for Concept on November 21, 2016.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

Both the +/-84.55 acre tract of land (Parcel \# 09-3.0-05-000-000-081.000) and the 105' x 110' leased area are currently vacant, wooded, metes and bounds described tracts of land.

## REVIEW:

The proposed telecommunications tower and equipment shelters / pads will be located within a 60' $\times 55^{\prime}$ fenced compound area. The proposed tower will initially have one (1) antenna installation at the top of the tower, with a corresponding Verizon Wireless outdoor equipment cabinet but will be designed to serve a total of four (4) carriers. The site plat indicates the location of the proposed 190' self-support tower and the proposed Verizon Wireless outdoor equipment cabinet within the fenced compound area. The site plan further indicates the approximate locations for the equipment cabinets / equipment shelters for the three (3) future carriers. The vast majority of the $105^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ leased area will be cleared of trees and vegetation and will be graded. The existing tree cover and vegetation throughout the remainder of the parent parcel will be preserved at this time. Since the 105 ' x 110' leased area has been effectively subdivided from the parent parcel, the staff recommends that a plat be reviewed and recorded for the property in question as a separate utility lot.

The site and grading plans indicate that the tower will be accessed via a 12' wide gravel access drive.

Utilizing the measurements as provided via the submitted site plans, it does not appear that any existing structures will be located within 190' of the tower. It appears that the closest structure will be located $+/-201$ feet from the center of the proposed tower. The site plans indicate that the proposed tower will be placed so that it will be located $+/-82$ feet from the east parent tract property line and $+/-86$ feet from the south property line. The representative has already provided the Planning \& Zoning office with a copy of an Engineering Fall Zone letter which was sealed by a professional engineer, from Valmont Structures, licensed in the State of Missouri. Valmont Structures is the company that will be designing and constructing the proposed tower in question.

Since the tower is not over 200' in height the FAA will not require the tower to be lit.
The adjoining property immediately to the north and east is predominantly vacant, wooded property that is a part of the $+/-84.55$ acre parent parcel. The adjoining property immediately to the south is Curtis Drive, with single-family residential properties being located further to the south. The adjoining property immediately to the west is predominantly single-family residential lots.

The project received a total score of -12 on the Policy Checklist, out of a maximum possible score of 33 . The relative policies receiving negative scores consist of right-ofway on existing roads, use compatibility, and utilities.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

If the Taney County Planning Commission approves this request, the following requirements shall apply, unless revised by the Planning Commission:

1. Compliance with the provisions of the Taney County Development Guidance Code.
2. Compliance letters from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), if required.
3. No outside storage of equipment or solid waste materials, other than generators or telecommunications equipment cabinets.
4. This decision is subject to all existing easements.
5. Division II Permits will be required for all applicable structures in the development (Chapter 3 Sec . I Item B).
6. Prior to the issuance of the Division II Permit the Utility Lot Plat shall first be approved by the Planning \& Zoning Department and filed with the Recorder of Deeds office.
7. Should the telecommunications tower no longer be in use for the original purpose granted by the Division III Permit and serving as an approved co-location site, the tower shall be dismantled and removed within six months of the cessation of operations.
8. This Decision of Record shall be filed with the Taney County Recorder of Deeds Office within 120 days or the approval shall expire (Chapter II Item 6).

| site information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| vive arte num <br> VZM artim mbacter <br> COUMTVI <br> Junjabiction <br> IITE COOMMNATEI: <br> sitic TYPEA <br> ETHUCTURI TYER <br> TOWER NEIATHI, <br> OUR <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> POWEG CDMPANYI <br>  <br> NIMLEYYMOEN PROJECT MOR. <br>  |  |
|  |  |
| SPECNL NOES: <br>  <br> HNOLCAPPD NCCESS MEOUROUONIS NOT KEOUIRCD. <br>  <br>  <br> CONSIBUCTIN REQURRMENTS: <br> HD VERIZON MRELESS CONS:RUCTION |  |
| praiect scip of work <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
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Verizon Wireless

Attn: Derrick Carter

SUBJECT: Valmont File \#: Not Available Model: 190' Self Supporting Tower Site Name: MOI5 Powersite

Thank you for your inquiry concerning tower design codes and practices as they relate to your requested tower designs.
Valmont Structures has been designing and building guyed and self-supporting towers and monopoles since the early 1950 's. During this time, we have sold thousands of towers ranging in height from as little as $50^{\prime}$ high to in excess of 1400'. These towers were individually engineered to accommodate the loading requirements imparted by the design wind speed, ice considerations, antemna loading, and other factors dictated by the national code requirements existing at the time the tower was built.

The ANSI/TIA-222-G Standard represents the latest refinement of specific minimum requirements for tower engineers and manufacturers to follow to help assure that the tower structure and its foundations are designed to meet the most realistic conditions for local weather while assuring that the tower is designed to stringent factors of safety. For this site, tower wind speed, ice conditions, structure class, topographical category, exposure criteria, and crest height are to be determined.

We are aware of few documented instances of a self supporting tower or monopole failure. Self supporting towers and motropoles can be designed such that the most common mode of failure is in the upper middle region of the tower, with the upper portion of the tower remaining connected and "bending and bowing over" against the base of the tower or pole. The fact that the wind is normally greater on the upper portion of the structure contributes to the likelihood of this type of failure. Thus, if a failure condition is reached, it should be reached in the upper middle region of the tower first. This tower will be designed with a theoretical failure point resulting in a buckling of the tower legs or angle bracing at or above the tower midpoint with the top sections of the tower folding over on to the intact base sections. This would then affect a "zero fall zone" at ground level.

Including myself, our site has three licensed Professional Engineers covering a total of 48 states. Valmont Structures is an AISC approved shop. All Valmont Structures welders are AWS and CWB qualified. Our total design, engineer and build process has been quality audited by our customers including public utilities, telephone companies, goverument agencies, and of course AISC.

We trust the above and the attached will be helpful to you. If you should need anything else, please let us know at your convenience.

Sincerely,

William R. Heiden III Manager of Engineering Ext. \#5243



| MO-15 Powersite Telecommunications Tower | Permit\#: |  | 16-28 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division III Relative Policy Scoring Sheet: Eastern Taney County |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT \& VENTS | n/a= | x |  |  |  |
| no rooftop equipment / vents or blocked from view by structure design or screening |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF SOLID WASTE CONTAINERS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ | x |  |  |  |
| no on-site waste containers or blocked from view by structure design or screening |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURAL SCREENING OF OUTDOOR EQUIP, STORAGE, ETC. | n/a= |  |  |  |  |
| no outdoor storage of equipment, materials, etc., or outdoor work areas |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| blocked from view by structure design |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| blocked from view using screening |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| partially blocked from view |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| exposed / not blocked from view |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| LANDSCAPED BUFFERS -- RESIDENTIAL | n/a= | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer between homes and all streets / roads / highways |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer from major roads / highways only |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffer, but compensates with expanse of land |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer between residences and local streets |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer from any road |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| LANDSCAPED BUFFERS - INDUSTRIAL | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| approved landscaped buffer from public roads |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffer, but compensates with expanse of land |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| no landscaped buffer from public roads |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| Local Economic Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGRICULTURAL LANDS | n/a= |  |  |  |  |
| no conversion of Class I-IV agricultural land to other use(s) |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | ) |
| development requires reclassification of Class I-IV agricultural land to other use(s) |  | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| RIGHT TO FARM n/a= |  |  |  |  |  |
| does not limit existing agricultural uses / does not cause nuisance, predation |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| does not limit existing agricultural uses, but may result in minor nuisance |  | -1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| potential impact(s) on existing agricultural land |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| RIGHT TO OPERATE n/a= |  | x |  |  |  |
| no viable impact on existing industrial uses by residential development |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| potential impact but can be mitigated |  | -1 | 2 |  |  |
| potential impact on existing industrial uses with no mitigation |  | -2 |  |  |  |


| MO-15 Powersite Telecommunications Tower | Permit\#: |  | 16-28 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division III Relative Policy Scoring Sheet: Eastern Taney County |  |  |  | O | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 <br> $\vdots$ <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| DIVERSIFICATION | n/a $=$ | x |  |  |  |
| creates >=5 full-time, year-round jobs outside of recreation / resort sector |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| creates full-time, year-round and seasonal jobs |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| creates seasonal jobs only |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Site Planning, Design, Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL PRIVACY | n/a= | $x$ |  |  |  |
| privacy provided by structural design, or not applicable |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| privacy provided by structural screening |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| privacy provided by landscaped buffers |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| privacy provided by open space |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| no acceptable or effective privacy buffering |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENTS | n/a $=$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| uses / functions are compatible or not applicable |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| uses / functions are integrated and separated based on compatibility |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| uses / functions differ minimally and are not readily apparent |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| uses / functions poorly integrated or separated |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| uses / functions mixed without regard to compatiblity factors |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| Commercial Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEVELOPMENT PATTERN / BUFFERING | n/a= | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| approved and effectively designed landscaped buffers between structures and all roa |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffering, but compensates with expanse of land |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| minimal landscaped buffering |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| no landscaped buffering, but utilizes expanse of land |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| no or inadequate buffering or separation by land |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| Services - Capacity and Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| UTILITIES | n/a= |  |  |  |  |
| adequate utilities capacity as evidenced by letter from each utility |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| adequate utilities capacity without formal letter from each utility or not from all utilities |  | -1 | 4 | -1 | -4 |
| inadequate information to determine adequacy of utilities |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC n/a= |  |  |  |  |  |
| no impact or insignificant impact on current traffic flows |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| traffic flow increases expected but manageable using existing roads and road accesses |  | -1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| traffic flow increases exceed current road capacities |  | -2 |  |  |  |
| EMERGENCY SERVICES n/a= |  | x |  |  |  |
| structure size and/or access can be serviced by emergency equipment |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| structure size and/or access may impede but not hinder serviceability |  | -1 | 3 |  |  |
| structure size and/or access could be problematic or non-serviceable |  | -2 |  |  |  |




## Project: MO-15 Powersite Telecommunications Tower

Permit\#: 16-28

|  | Policies Receiving a Negative Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Importance <br> Factor 5: | right-of-way / roads |
| Importance | use compatibility utilities |
| Factor 4: |  |
| Importance <br> Factor 3: | none |
| Importance <br> Factor 2: | none |
| Importance <br> Factor 1: | none |

[^2]
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Project: MO-15 Powersite Telecommunications Tow\&Permit: 16-28
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