# **OFFICIAL MINUTES**

# November 8, 2010, 15<sup>th</sup> DAY OF THE OCTOBER ADJOURN TERM

The County Commission met in The Commissioner's Hearing Room at 8:00 AM with Chuck Pennel, Danny Strahan and Jim Strafuss present. The following proceedings were had and made a matter of record:

# PRAYER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Sherrie Veltkamp led the prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

# **PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES**

Commissioner Strafuss moved to approve the minutes of 10/27, 10/29, 11/1 and 11/4/2010. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

# ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, JOURNAL ENTRIES/TRANSFERS

Commissioner Strafuss moved to approve accounts payable and transfers as follows:

DAT	11/8/2010			
E				
	CHECKS		WARRANTS	
	322110	322191	5293	5297
			5294	5298
			5295	
			5296	

Commissioner Pennel seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

#### PUBLIC COMMENT

Don Ehrhardt spoke to the Commission on the agricultural classification of his land, the Hancock amendment and building codes. He read aloud the following statement to the Commission:

# Fees and the Hancock amendment

In this county, different departments collect fees for doing specific work that, to my knowledge, goes to pay back the county for specific work which other taxpayers should not be burdened with. Fees for checking out building plans, road set backs, number of driveways and so on. In a specific department, Planning and Zoning, we have fees that are very high, in my opinion.

The reason I believe they are high is because of the state Hancock amendment.

I know I am not a lawyer, but the Hancock amendment was written simply and that is why the great lawyers of today have had a hard time finding a way around it. This is the way part of it reads:

#### Local Government Tax Limit and Voter Approval

a. A local government entity may not levy any "Tax, license or fee" that was not already in existence at the time the Hancock Amendment was adopted, or may it increase the levy of a tax beyond the level that was in effect at the time that the Hancock Amendment was adopted, unless approved by the voters.

#### State Mandate provision

- 1. The State may not require local governments to provide new or additional activities or services unless the State is responsible for paying the additional costs of providing the new services.
- 2. The State may not require local governments to provide new or additional activities or services unless the State is responsible for paying the additional costs of providing the new services.
- 3. The State must make an appropriation that expressly funds the costs of any state-mandated program.

The people on Tuesday, April 4, 2006 voted "NO" on retaining building codes. This is the will of the people. Since this was, and is, the popular vote, I am sure the courts will view this as the citizens of Taney County "NOT" wanting the state codes either, which you all are choosing to enforce on them at this time.

When I came before you on September 29, 2010, I was not aware that this county takes the codes of the state to enforce them. I was not aware the total code book was nothing more than the State of Missouri Statutes making its way into our county to be spoon fed our counties taxpayers through our and their generous fees and monies for enforcement. The only problem with this is; the state is not contributing any money for the enforcement of their statutes.

I am asking this county to go to the State of Missouri for "appropriation of funds" and if they refuse to appropriate these funds, the county should stop enforcing the states codes in Taney County with only Taney County tax money being used.

I am also asking that you refund. Did you get that? All fees collected, in regards to building codes, above the amount of fees charged when the Hancock amendment was placed into our state constitution. I also want to know how much money the county has spent on the personnel, vehicles,

equipment and so on. This money should be returned directly to the taxpayers of this county and be paid for out of the Taney County general fund. This is because the people voted against building codes.

Until I made myself aware of the Hancock amendment, I wasn't aware of its ultimate power. The amendment was not put in the state statutes, rather the constitution and this takes precedent over any and all of the state statues.

The Hancock Amendment only makes sense. If this county chooses to hire people to enforce the state codes, what will be next? Will our county hire people to enforce IRS laws for the federal government at our county tax payer's expense?

Unless I miss my guess, the only enforcement Planning and Zoning will be doing, after you talk to the state, is where in the county a home or business can be located and that's what most of the people, I have talked to, are wanting anyway.

You gentlemen were elected by the people, isn't it time you started listening to them. This county is not big enough for any of your preset agendas or preconceived mass congestion ideas. This county should not be dominated by Branson and / or Townships which have their own codes and municipal laws. The people who reside in the county outside these congested residential areas, including the farmers, have no where to turn for friendly laws that protect, without strangling. Please release all of your strangle holds on the rural areas, and let's be friends. Good roads and bridges are mainly all we rural voters are wanting.

(Not a Lawyer's opinion, but sage advice: If you find yourself walking toward a yellow jacket's nest and you want to save face, and the rest of your body from much agony, it is advisable to do an about face and walk slowly away.)

#### NEW/OLD BUSINESS INMATE SECURITY FUNDING RSMO 488.5026

Bob Paulson and the Commission discussed the funding and informed Sheriff Jimmie Russell that it had already been handled.

#### MONTHLY BUDGET REPORT

Auditor Rick Findley presented the monthly budget report to the Commission as follows:

EXPBY DEPT	TANEY COUNTY					October 31,
EXPENSES BY DEPARTMENT						
		2008	2009	2010	2010	ACTUA
						L %
		ACTUA	ACTUA	APPRO	ACTUA	
		LS	LS	VED	LS	
	EXPENSES					
101????	COMMISSION	336,461.	327,236.	329,300.	325,631.	99%
		99	38	00	63	
102-??-	CLERK	168,184.	188,035.	191,429.	151,578.	79%

???		08	68	00	01	
103-??-	ELECTIONS	182,762.	14,798.4	151,787.	99,891.0	66%
???		40	6	96	6	
104-??-	BUILDINGS &	514,375.	975,458.	846,472.	665,017.	79%
???	GROUNDS	87	71	00	28	
105-??-	EMPLOYEES	2,079,15	2,120,64	2,050,13	1,578,81	77%
???	FRINGE BEN	9.69	2.53	3.43	9.51	
106-??-	TREASURER	80,907.0	85,503.6	86,430.0	70,300.8	81%
???		0	2	4	5	
107-??-	COLLECTOR	213,054.	227,036.	240,764.	184,609.	77%
???		69	39	20	24	
108-??-	CIRCUIT CLERK	267,536.	274,250.	318,724.	231,592.	73%
???		62	84	00	78	
109-??-	COURT	884.67	834.01	2,112.00	683.89	32%
???	REPORTER					
110-??-	ASSOCIATE	35,881.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
???	COURT DIV 1	3	07 505 6	10 550 0	01.014.0	5004
111-??-	COURT	26,399.5	37,505.6	42,750.0	31,344.9	73%
???	ADMINISTRATIO	0	1	0	6	
110 00	N	(0.541.1	500041	(5 540 1	16 112 7	710/
112-??- ???	PRESIDING CIRCUIT JUDGE	60,541.1 1	56,064.1 9	65,548.1	46,443.7 4	71%
113-??-	PUBLIC	1 92,992.4	9 100,280.	6 91,779.8	4 79,832.2	87%
???	ADMINISTRATOR	92,992.4 0	100,280.	4	19,832.2 6	0/%
114-??-	OTHER EXPENSE	8,713,89	2,994,40	10,895,9	1,632,78	15%
???	OTTER EMENDE	8.90	1.71	00.00	0.70	1.5 /0
115-??-	AUDITOR	168,093.	176,168.	144,382.	119,821.	83%
???	nobiron	82	56	00	79	0570
116-??-	CHILD SUPPORT	27,150.5	733.40	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
???		0				
117-??-	SHERIFF	2,370,48	2,371,27	2,451,54	2,007,45	82%
???		5.58	5.00	6.36	4.99	
118-??-	JAIL	1,448,52	1,295,84	1,441,14	1,000,64	69%
???		7.61	1.78	5.00	7.89	
119-??-	PROSECUTING	619,441.	653,462.	618,355.	566,711.	92%
???	ATT	96	18	77	43	
120-??-	JUVENILE	125,414.	119,485.	133,124.	105,813.	79%
???		30	13	02	74	
121-??-	CORONER	45,001.0	45,879.6	47,030.0	38,957.5	83%
???		6	0	0	6	
124-??-	TRANSFERS/DEBT	1,505,48	1,423,20	1,923,77	1,461,86	76%
???	SERVICE	0.14	8.39	4.92	3.77	
125-??-	ASSOC COURT	28,030.8	400.00	0.00	600.00	#DIV/0!
???	DIV 2	8	00.010 1	110.0=1		
126-??-	CIRCUIT CLERK	34,763.0	98,862.4	112,271.	72,687.4	65%
???		5	8	20	2	

127-??-	INDUSTRIAL DEV	22,037.0	100,367.	127,500.	71,565.8	56%
???		6	58	00	2	
128-??-	HUMAN	0.00	0.00	34,664.0	29,027.7	84%
???	RESOURCES			0	8	
130-??-	PLANNING &	227,636.	323,257.	395,034.	334,564.	85%
???	ZONING	56	62	86	76	
133-??-	EXTENSION	47,739.8	47,385.6	47,642.0	36,530.2	77%
???		6	5	0	7	
134-??-	SEWER	366,889.	365,684.	345,941.	310,486.	90%
???		27	29	60	27	
135-??-	INFORMATION	355,876.	417,940.	430,513.	340,333.	79%
???	SYSTEMS	93	62	90	46	
136-??-	ANIMAL	163,349.	152,821.	169,000.	168,996.	100%
???	CONTROL	43	25	00	84	
137-??-	EM	110,369.	73,308.8	170,114.	57,070.7	34%
???	MANAGEMENTS	17	8	00	7	
140-??-	AIRPORT	991,286.	1,144,09	1,276,62	438,373.	34%
???		24	3.17	4.80	72	
	TOTAL	21,430,6	16,212,2	25,181,7	12,260,0	49%
		14.17	23.81	95.06	34.19	
101-30-	GCR BEGINNING	18,628,3	13,051,0	0.00	10,256,8	#DIV/0!
000	BALANCE	44.77	82.10		89.62	
1018???	GCR TOTAL	15,853,3	13,418,0	13,681,8	11,567,8	85%
	REVENUES	51.50	31.33	80.73	84.54	
	GCR TOTAL	21,430,6	16,212,2	25,181,7	12,260,0	49%
	EXPENDITURES	14.17	23.81	95.06	34.19	
		-	-	-	-	-

FUNDBALANCE	TANEY COU		
10/31/10	BUDGET		
	FUND BALA		
	PERIOD TO	YEAR TO	
	DATE	DATE	
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	
GENERAL COUNTY			
REVENUE			
BEGINNING BALANCE	\$0.00	\$10,256,889.62	
REVENUES	1,276,881.49	11,567,884.54	
	-	-	
TOTAL REVENUES GCR	1,276,881.49	21,824,774.16	

	-	-	
TOTAL GCR	1,048,607.23	12,260,034.19	
EXPENDITURES	1,0 10,007.20	1_,_00,00	
	=	=	
TOTAL GCR FUND	228,274.26	9,564,739.97	
BALANCE	220,27 1120	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
ROAD & BRIDGE			total r&b
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	326,237.44	5,316,452.09
REVENUES	108,982.44	3,090,787.11	8,763,707.99
	-	-	
TOTAL RB REVENUES	108,982.44	3,417,024.55	14,080,160.08
	-	-	,,
EXPENDITURES	233,128.02	1,846,044.85	7,852,209.49
	=	=	, , ,
TOTAL RB FUND	(124,145.58)	1,570,979.70	6,227,950.59
BALANCE	(	,	-, .,
			1
ROAD & BRIDGE TRUST			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	4,990,214.65	
REVENUES	696,641.09	5,672,920.88	
	-	-	
TOTAL RBT REVENUES	696,641.09	10,663,135.53	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	273,241.76	6,006,164.64	
	=	=	
TOTAL RBT FUND	423,399.33	4,656,970.89	
BALANCE	,	.,	
ASSESSMENT FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	23,917.23	
REVENUES	1,930.25	462,289.85	
	-	-	
TOTAL AF REVENUES	1,930.25	486,207.08	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	51,431.18	518,957.25	
	=	=	1
TOTAL ASSEMENT FUND	(49,500.93)	(32,750.17)	
BALANCE	( - ,- ,- ,- ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			1
			1
ELECTION FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	19,767.89	

REVENUES	15,791.91	24,186.64	
	-	-	
TOTAL ELECTION	15,791.91	43,954.53	
REVENUES	,		
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	21,558.70	43,008.33	
	=	=	
TOTAL ELECTION FUND	(5,766.79)	946.20	
BALANCE			
TRANSFER STATION FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	695,113.21	
REVENUES	100,288.11	744,179.01	
	-	-	
TOTAL TS REVENUES	100,288.11	1,439,292.22	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	88,479.77	804,113.98	
TOTAL TO FUND DAL ANCE	= 11.000.24	=	
TOTAL TS FUND BALANCE	11,808.34	635,178.24	
FUNDBALANCE	TANEY COU	INTY	
10/31/10	BUDGET		
	FUND BALA	NCES	
	PERIOD TO	YEAR TO	
	DATE	DATE	
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	
LEPC FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	\$0.00	\$11,530.56	
REVENUES	9.67	1,140.76	
	-	-	
TOTAL LEPC REVENUES	9.67	12,671.32	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	0.00	5,370.65	
	=		
TOTAL LEPC FUND	9.67	7,300.67	
BALANCE			
LAW ENFORCEMENT			
TRAINING FUND	0.00	7 134 60	
TRAINING FUND BEGINNING BALANCE REVENUES	0.00 3,208.40	7,134.60 7,155.15	

	-	-	
TOTAL LET REVENUES	3,208.40	14,289.75	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	0.00	2,741.42	
	=	=	
TOTAL LET FUND	3,208.40	11,548.33	
BALANCE			
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY			
TRAINING FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	5,934.04	
REVENUES	116.53	1,161.60	
	-	-	
TOTAL PAT REVENUES	116.53	7,095.64	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	440.74	2,287.19	
EAFENDITURES		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	=	=	
TOTAL PAT FUND	(324.21)	4,808.45	
BALANCE			
COUNTY INSURED FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	3,700.96	
REVENUES	302.00	61,051.81	
	-	-	
TOTAL COUNTY INSURED	302.00	64,752.77	
REVENUES			
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	(1,268.51)	60,662.64	
	=	=	
TOTAL COUNTY INSURED	1,570.51	4,090.13	
FUND BALANCE	,	,	
911 FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	770,624.01	
REVENUES	45,025.23	446,518.73	
	-	-	
TOTAL 911 REVENUES	45,025.23	1,217,142.74	
	т <i>э</i> ,0 <i>23</i> .2 <i>3</i>	1,21/,142./4	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	17,948.73	649,142.70	
	=	=	
TOTAL 911 FUND	27,076.50	568,000.04	
BALANCE			

USE TAX			
FUNDBALANCE	TANEY COU		
10/31/10	BUDGET		
	FUND BALA		
	PERIOD TO	YEAR TO	
	DATE	DATE	
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	
SEWER FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	\$0.00	\$26,071,916.94	
REVENUES	722,666.56	6,044,943.59	
	-	-	
TOTAL SEWER REVENUES	722,666.56	32,116,860.53	
	-	-	
EXPENDITURES	453,294.52	7,341,059.76	
	=	=	
TOTAL SEWER FUND	269,372.04	24,775,800.77	
BALANCE			
SEWER DESIGNATED			
FUND			
EXPENDITURES			
TOTAL ALL SEWED FUNDS	=	=	
TOTAL ALL SEWER FUNDS	269,372.04	24,775,800.77	
	=	=	
SHERIFF CIVIL FUND			
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	156,414.18	
REVENUES	5,234.68	57,577.83	
KEVENUES	5,254.08	57,577.05	
TOTAL SHERIFF CIVIL	- 5,234.68	- 213,992.01	
REVENUES	3,234.08	213,992.01	
EXPENDITURES	- 759.96	32,545.89	
	=	=	
TOTAL SHERIFF CIVIL	4,474.72	- 181,446.12	
FUND BALANCE	+,+/4./2	101,440.12	
TAX MAINTENANCE FUND			
	I		

BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	148,506.52
REVENUES	5,408.69	139,125.60
	-	-
TOTAL MAINTENANCE	5,408.69	287,632.12
FUND REVENUES		
	-	-
EXPENDITURES	3,111.62	91,463.34
	=	=
TOTAL MAINTENANCE	2,297.07	196,168.78
FUND BALANCE		

The Commission discussed upcoming budget planning with Mr. Findley.

Commissioner Strafuss announced the sales tax report amount to the public.

The Commission discussed the upcoming Veteran's Day activities.

Assessor James Strahan asked the media to notify the public that the new personal property exemption for POW's would be applied in 2010.

#### RECESS 9:37 AM

#### RECONVENED 9:48 AM

#### ADMINISTRATIVE & DEPARTMENTAL FUNCTIONS

#### PARK BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

Commissioner Strahan presented the minutes of the last Park Board meeting to the other Commissioners for their review.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to approve a not to exceed amount of \$500 to repair vandalism at Mincy Park. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

#### NIKKI LAWRENCE – WORK SESSION W/ COMMISSION

#### AGENDA REVIEW

The Commission reviewed the agenda with Nikki Lawrence.

#### NUISANCE CASES

The Commission requested that Nikki contact Frank Preston in Road & Bridge to schedule dumping at an appropriate time at the transfer station for case #10-0818A, and to bring the case back to the Commission in two weeks.

# HPRP MONTHLY REPORT

ATTACHMENT 1

Nikki Lawrence presented the following reports to the Commission:

NVOICE - ADVANCE					Grantee Name Subgrantee N	e: ame:	Taney County Christian Associates
SEND ORIGINAL SIGNED COPY TO:		Missouri Departm Family Support Di Attn: Janet McGu P. O. Box 2320 Jetterson City, MC	vision/CSBG bbin	VIC08		Phone (573) 761-678 Fax (573) 522-9557 Janel miccubbin(a)dss.	
rom City or County of:	Taney Count	y			Invoice #		1
ity or County Address:					Vendor #		1
P. O. Box:					Contract #		1
City, State, Zip Code:	Branson, MC	65616			Electronic Funds	Transfer :	Paper Cherx
Authorized By:	For	Dificial Use Only 345		Authorized Amour Date:		Comments:	
ART A FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT	Second -		an a		e a conservation de la conservation		
Cal. (1)		ol. (2)	C	lol. (3)	Col. (4)	Col. (5)	Col. (8)
Budget Category		1 Assistance Homelessness		tion and Stabilization Homelessness	Date Collection	Administration	Total HPRP Funds
	Hometessness	Assistance/Rop)d	Homelessness		and Evaluation		
	Prevention		Prevention	Assistance/Rapid			
Original City/County Budget	Prevention \$119,538.00	Rehouting	Prevention	Pohouring	AN STRACT	an a	
		Rehouting		Pohouring		an a	
Approved Budget Adjustments		\$47;678.00 \$2,000.00		\$10,982.00	网络中国家中国	\$0,00	\$0
Approved Budget Adjustments Adjusted Project Budget	\$119,538.00	\$47;678.00 \$2,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$10,982.00 -\$2,000,00 \$8,982,00	\$0.00	\$0:00	\$0 \$182;198
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Cumulative Advance Total     B0% of Advance (Line D)	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$49,678.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00	\$10,982.00 -\$2,000,00 \$8,982,00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,585.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$182;198 \$182;198 \$91,000
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Cumulative Advance Total     S0% of Advance (une o)     Total Cumulative Expenditures	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$49,678.00 \$20,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00	\$10,982.00 -\$2,000,00 \$8,982,00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,585.60	\$0.00	19.92	\$182;198 \$182;198 \$91,000 \$72,800
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Cimulative Advance Total     80% of Advance (Une D)     Total Comulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$49,678.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00	\$10,982.00 -\$2,000,00 \$8,982,00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,585.60	\$0.00	19.92	\$182;198 \$182;198 \$91,000 \$72,800
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Cumulative Advance Budget     Bo% of Advance (Line D)     Total Cumulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F     column 6) If 61 s < "0" eligible for advance. If 61:	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$23,273.72	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800,00 \$2,000.00	Pohovia \$10,982.00 -\$2,000.00 \$8,982,00 \$1,982.00 \$1,585.60 \$4,118.24	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$91,000 \$72,800 \$84,579
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Convolative Advance Total     Software (Une D)     Total Comulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F     column 6) (If G is * <sup>10</sup> e algible for advance. If G is	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ -\$772.89	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$49,678.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$23,273.72	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00	Pohovia \$10,982.00 -\$2,000.00 \$8,982,00 \$1,982.00 \$1,585.60 \$4,118.24	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$182,190 \$91,000 \$72,800 \$84,579
Approved Budget Ádjustments Adjusted Project Budget Cumulative Advance Total BO% of Advance (Line D) Total Cumulative Expenditures Difference between Line E and Line F column 6) (If G is < "0" eligible for advance. If G is "0" no advance. C Utrient Cash Advance Request (Lesser of	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ -\$772.89 f	\$47,678.00 \$2,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$23,273.72 -\$7,273.72	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00	\$10,082,00 \$2,000,00 \$1,982,00 \$1,982,00 \$1,585,60 \$4,118,24 -\$2,532,64	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$182,190 \$91,000 \$72,800 \$84,575 -\$11,779
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Ciunulative Advance Total     80% of Advance (Line D     Total Comulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F     column 6) Iff G is < "0" eligible for advance. If G is     "" no advance.     Current Cash Advance Request (Lesero     Xert Line Crimer Line D)	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ -\$772.89 \$ \$35,000.00	\$47,678.00 \$20,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$23,273.72 -\$7,273.72 \$5,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00 \$2,000.00 -\$1,200.00 \$500.00		\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$(12)19 \$91,000 \$72,000 \$84,576 
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Ciunulative Advance Total     Ciunulative Advance Total     Ofference between Line E and Line F     Olfference between Line E and Line F     Column 6) (If G is < "0" aligible for advance. If G is     "0" no advance.     Current Cash Advance Request [Leser of     Se of the C or (Une C minus the D)     yiging this document, I cardly that be beat of T     of the avence as elf ofth in the avent document	\$119;538.00 \$119;538.00 \$88,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ \$ \$772.89 f \$35,000.00 wy knowladga and 3	\$47,678,00 \$49,678,00 \$20,000,00 \$20,000,00 \$16,000,00 \$23,273,72 -\$7,273,72 \$5,000,00 ballet that this repo	\$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$800.00 \$2,000.00 -\$1,200.00 \$500.00	Patourine \$10,082.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,885.60 \$4,118.24 -\$2,532.64 \$5,000.00 complete and thet all of	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 d obligations	\$182,195 \$91,000 \$72,800 \$84,579 -\$11,778 \$45,500
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Ciunulative Advance Total     Ciunulative Advance Total     Ofference between Line E and Line F     Olfference between Line E and Line F     Column 6) (If G is < "0" aligible for advance. If G is     "0" no advance.     Current Cash Advance Request [Leser of     Se of the C or (Une C minus the D)     yiging this document, I cardly that be beat of T     of the avence as elf ofth in the avent document	\$119,538.00 \$419,538.00 \$68,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ -\$772.89 f \$35,000.00 y knowledge app	■stanular           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$49,678.00           \$\$20,000.00           \$\$16,000.00           \$\$23,273.72           -\$7,273.72           \$\$5,000.00           \$\$6,000.00           \$\$6,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$800.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$500.00 it is correct and c	Patourine \$10,082.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,885.60 \$4,118.24 -\$2,532.64 \$5,000.00 complete and thet all of	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 d obligations	\$(12)19 \$91,000 \$72,000 \$84,576 
Approved Budget Adjustments     Adjusted Project Budget     Cumulative Advance Total     Cumulative Advance Total     S0% of Advance (Une D)     Total Comulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F     column 6) (If G is *0 <sup>o</sup> eligible for advance. If G is     "0 <sup>o</sup> n advance.     Current Cash Advance Request (Leser of     Se of the C or (Une C minus Une D)     yiging this document, I cantly that to be beat of r     of the avend occument.	\$119;538.00 \$119;538.00 \$88,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ \$ \$772.89 f \$35,000.00 wy knowladga and 3	■stanular           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$49,678.00           \$\$20,000.00           \$\$16,000.00           \$\$23,273.72           -\$7,273.72           \$\$5,000.00           \$\$6,000.00           \$\$6,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$500.00 \$600.00 the correct and c	240.082.00 340.082.00 345.52.000.00 51,692.00 51,585.60 54,118.24 -\$2,532.64 \$5,000.00 complete and that all a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 d obligations	\$182,10 \$91,000 \$72,600 \$72,600 \$84,575 -\$11,775 \$45,600 Typed or Panied Neme Jocelyn Fisher
Original City/County Budget     Approved Budget Adjustments     Approved Budget Adjustments     Approved Budget Adjustments     Approved Budget     Adjusted Project Budget     Adjusted Project Budget     Bo% of Advance (Line D)     Total Comulative Advance Total     Bo% of Advance (Line D)     Total Comulative Expenditures     Difference between Line E and Line F     column 6) (If G is <"0" eligible for advance. If G is     "0" in advance.     Current Casin Advance Request (Lesser of     S% of Line C or (Line C minus Line D)     yinging Bin document, cardity that Do the best of r     re for the purposes set forth in the award documents     antee Signature     The Document Company     Difference     Difference	\$119,538.00 \$119,538.00 \$548,018.00 \$54,414.40 \$55,187.29 \$ \$ \$7772.89 \$ \$35,000.00 \$35,000.00 \$ \$35,000.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	■stanular           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$47,678.00           \$\$49,678.00           \$\$20,000.00           \$\$16,000.00           \$\$23,273.72           -\$7,273.72           \$\$5,000.00           \$\$6,000.00           \$\$6,000.00	\$4,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$500.00 \$600.00 the correct and c	Patourine \$10,082.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,982.00 \$1,885.60 \$4,118.24 -\$2,532.64 \$5,000.00 complete and thet all of	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 d obligations	\$182,109 \$94,000 \$72,800 \$84,576 -\$11,776 \$45,600

#### ATTACHMENT 4

#### Grantee Name: Subgrantee Name:

SEND ORIGINAL SIGNED COPY TO:

Missouri Dapartment of Social Services Pamily Support Division/C686 Atta: Janet McCubbin P. O. Box 2320 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Phone (673) 751-6789 Fax (673) 522-9557 Janet.mccubbin@dss.mo.gov

Budget Category	Homelessness = Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Total	Effective Date: October 31, 2010
Financial Assistance	HP FA	HAFA	S AREA STREET	
Current	\$119,538.00	\$47,678.00		
Add -		\$2,000.00		
Reduce			\$0.00	Comments:
New Total	\$119,538.00	\$49,678.00		
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	HPHR	HAHR	201723300222	
Current	\$4,000.00			
Add			\$0.00	
Reduce		\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	
New Total	\$4,000.00	\$8,982.00	\$12,982.00	
Data Collection and Evaluation		的法规的法律	Contraction of the second	
Current	这种性性的影响	A CONTRACTOR		
Add	SARABARAN SE	新日本制作可用的基本		
Reduce	力在自己的问题的	<b>送除 动物的</b> 间的		1
New Total	2012年3月1月9月月	國家資源的建築展開	\$0.00	
Administration	<b>建设的</b> 关键的	动物的物质的实际系统	AP	For Official Use Only
Current	ine and so that	S. S		Authorized By :
Add		a production and a		
Reduce	部門國家的法律	Sale Protection	······································	Date:
New Total	<b>和学校的</b> 学者	Saladia and	\$0.00	
Total		<b>国际的保留和</b> 创新	<b>TANKA CHAR</b>	
Current	的建立的建筑		\$182,198.00	
Add	The second secon		\$2,000.00	
Reduce	的認識的影響。	Shine March 196	\$2,000.00	
New Total	REAL PROPERTY IN CONTRACTOR	這個的總統的面面	\$182,198.00	
Grantee Signature	Typed or Printed N	ame	Preparer's Signatu	Ire Typed or Printed Name
			Jacolim 3	

1-0ct-10

HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION AND RAPID RE-HOUSING MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REPORT (Due to FSD on the 10th of each month) SEND ORIGINAL SIGNED COPY TO:

Grantee Name: \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Taney County Subgrantee Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Christian Associates

Phone (573) 761-6789 Fax (573) 522-9657 Janel.mccubbin@dss.mo.gov

SEND ORIGINAL SIGNED COPY TO:	Missouri Department of Social Services Femily Support Division/CSBG Altri: Janet McCubbin P. O. Box 2320 Jelforeno City, MO 65102	Phone (573) 751- Fax (573) 522-961 Janet.mccubbin@	57
From City or County of:	Taney County	Expenditure Month/Year:	Oct-10
City or County Address:	610 S. Sixth St., Suite # 207	Vendor #:	CATRL
P. O. Box:		Contract #:	ER16410047A
City, State, Zip Code:	Branson, MO 65616	Subgrantee Name:	Christian Associt
15.1914年後月前17月1日1月1日月1日日1日1日日日1日日日1日日日1日日日1日日日1日日日1	In the only and the second states of the second states of the second states of the second states of the second	ficial Use Only assessment and the second state of the	的名称是我们的问题,我们是我们的问题,我们也能能是我们的问题。
Noviewed by (State Stat) (State Stat)	<b>和新闻的问题,我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题。</b>	Auto to don Senature and a state of the sector	
Dire and the second second second		T for a second sec	and the second

1

PARTA EXPENDITURES	的复数动物的	的复数的复数	AND REAL PROPERTY.	STATE X 29 COM	2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NE HOLDS	1000			
	<b>新教育委員長</b>	entral and the same	revention	的政治的影响和影响		Res Repid Rapid F	etHousing	Selection of the second		
	Structures (Structures) (Struct				A MARK SALAR STREET, AND A STREET					
1. Financial Assistance (FA)	Budgeted	。 例Monthly 建築	YTD.	Remaining	Budgeted	Monthly	的现在分词 difference	Remaining		
Short-Term Rental Assistance	\$83,756.00	\$3,335.00	\$43,788.45	\$39,967.55	\$32,678.00	\$410.00	\$8,000.89	\$24,677.11		
Medium-Term Rental Assistance	\$5,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Rental and Utility Arrears	\$1,000.00		\$2,323.31	-\$1,323.31	1			\$0.00		
Security and Utility Deposits	\$10,400.00	\$925.00	\$4,105.00	\$6,295.00	\$14,000.00	\$350.00	\$13,946.21	\$53.79		
Utility Payments	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$470.53	\$529.47	\$2,000.00	\$0,00	\$1,285,61	\$975.39		
Maving Costs				\$0.00	Sec.		\$41.01	-\$41.01		
Hotel/Motel Vouchers	\$5,200.00			\$5,200.00	100			\$0.00		
Staff Costs to Issue FA	\$4,982.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,982.00	-			\$0.00		
Inspections	\$8,000.00	\$500,01	\$4,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$1,000.00			\$1,000.00		
Other*					1000					
'Olher delail:					600					
Total Financial Assistance	\$119,538.00	\$4,760.01	\$55,187.29	\$64,350.71	\$47,678.00	\$760.00	\$23,273.72	\$24,404,28		
		28 W. Expen			1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Expendence	litures (1996)	Nets (1997) - Mari		
24HousingIReloc. & Stabil Seni(HRS))	Budgeted	<b>网络Monthly</b> 和2	[[18] 编MTD 源 编]	計與影響Remaining 编译的	1 回题Budgeted组织	提述Monthly 编辑	YTD	法期代emaining		
Case Management	\$4,000.00	\$500.01	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00			\$4,118.24	\$4,863.76		
Outreach and Engagement				\$0.00	1011			\$0.00		
Housing Search & Placement				\$0.00	X			\$0.00		
Legal Services				\$0.00	Non			\$0.00		
Credit Repair				\$0,00	1000			\$0.00		
Other*				\$0.00	2002			\$0.00		
'Other detell:				1	degrad			· i ···· ·		

Total House Reloc & Stabilization	\$4,000.00	\$500.01	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00 \$	\$8,982.00	\$0.00	\$4,118.24	\$4,863.76
			1	:				

				-	
Taney County					
				L	
	Western and per	er sinon, Expen	ditures	61	
3 Data Collection & Evaluation	Mail Budgeted IPg	Monthly 28	IN TOTAL	南	Remaining
Staff Salarles		-			\$0.00
HMIS					\$0.00
Other*					\$0.00
'Other detail:					
Total Data Collection and Evaluation	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Π	\$0.00
	的思想的思想的思	Salar Expen	dituras a anti-	劉	常認定的影響的影響的
4 Administration	<b>Budgeted</b> 編號	<b>除的Monthly</b> 滑雪	<b>的。</b> 例YTD至它的	춺	Remaining
Cost Allocation/Indirect				I	\$0.00
HPRP Training				1	\$0.00
Olher*				1	\$0.00
'Oiher delali:				1	
Total Administration	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1	\$0.00
PART BilGertification States and States	结合的和自己的情况。	的复数分子的 建石的	2.14世纪合称为14月1年		学们和自己的特别的学习2.4
Granige's Signature;		Preparer's Signa	ture: Jaculy	1	1: 6
Church annal			Yaccept	74	Junco
Typed or Printed Name:		Typed or Printed Na	me; Jocelyn Fisher	1	
[hughtenge]				ł	
			Title: Program Director		
Date: 11/8/10		Phone: 417-739-3200			
				÷	

By signing this document, I certify that to the best of my knowledge and bellef that this report is correct and complete and that ell outlays and unitquidated obligations are for the purposes set forth in the award documents.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to approve the amended budget and the October 2010 HPRP monthly expenditure report. Commissioner Pennel seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

#### **CLEO AGREEMENT**

Commissioner Strafuss moved to appoint Ron Houseman for the 2011 CLEO Representative. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

#### **BOB PAULSON – WORK SESSION W/ COMMISSION**

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go into Executive Session pursuant to RSMo. 610.021 (1)(2)(3) & (4). Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Pennel (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION 10:15 AM

See Executive Session minutes for actions if any.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go out of Executive Session. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Pennel (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

# OUT OF EXECUTIVE 11:12 AM

#### PARK BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

Commissioner Strafuss moved to allocate \$1,409.29 for the Protem pavilion park and an additional \$1,000 for the Mincy Park for cattle panels. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

#### STAFF DEPARTMENTAL MEETING – ROAD & BRIDGE

Frank Preston reported positive feedback on the Snow Rodeo and thanked the Commission for their attendance.

Mr. Preston discussed a request for a dozer to be used at a recent brush fire to create fire breaks. He inquired if in the future the Commission would like to create an open agreement for shared services and/or resources between agencies in cases of extreme emergency, or if those should be handled on a case-by-case basis. The Commission directed Mr. Preston to speak with Mr. Paulson.

Mr. Preston discussed the storing and disposal of wood at the Hilda shop. The Commission stated that they would consider the matter and readdress it the following week.

Mr. Preston stated that he had made inquiries into the replacement of fuel pumps, and was still working on the question.

Mr. Preston asked for clarification with the road acceptance policy and the supplying of tinhorns.

# **STAFF DEPARTMENTAL MEETING – 911 ADMINISTRATION**

Tammy Hagler updated the Commission on the daily operation issues in the 911 Administration office. She spoke regarding the phone system, phone billing, the mapping processes and the extra revenue being generated by the Competitive Local Exchange Carriers.

Commissioner Pennel moved to table the Executive Session - Personnel to 1:00 pm, if needed. Commissioner Strafuss seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

Presiding Commissioner Pennel declared a recess.

# RECESS 11:51 AM

The minutes were taken and typed by Cristy Smith, Deputy Clerk.