

**JANUARY 17, 2006 THE 4<sup>TH</sup> DAY  
OF THE JANUARY ADJOURN TERM**

The County Commission met in Associate II Circuit Courtroom at 9:05 a.m. pursuant to adjourn with Chuck Pennel, Presiding, Ron Herschend, Western District, and Danny Strahan, Eastern District present. The following proceedings were had and made a matter of record.

The prayer was led by Jeff Reynolds followed by the pledge.

**BUILDING CODE ORDINANCE  
BOB PAULSON**

Bob Paulson, County Counselor presented the Commission with ballot language, letters, and an ordinance to be approved and signed in order to certify putting the building code issue on the ballot for the April 4, 2006 Municipal Election. Paulson added that he the following is the proposed language pursuant to RSMo 64.170.

**Shall Taney County have the authority to create, adopt, and impose a county building code?**

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to accept this ordinance #06-0117-01 as proposed. Commissioner Pennel seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

Commissioner Strahan asked who would write the codes if this issue passes? Bob Paulson answered that pursuant to RSMo 64.180 "The County Commission...shall appoint a building commission consisting of five members, residents and tax payers of the county, one of whom shall be a member of the county commission, to be selected by the county commission..." Paulson further stated that pursuant to RSMo 64.196 "...any county seeking to adopt a building code in a manner set forth in section 64.180 shall, in creating or amending such a code, adopt a current, calendar year 1999 or later edition, nationally recognized building code, as amended.

Commissioner Strahan then asked what would happen if they didn't want to follow a nationally recognized building code, for instance like putting a dollar amount on whether a structure has a building code or not? Bob Paulson clarified that there may already be a code that fits into that category. Commissioner Herschend added that there are probably different codes that apply to commercial or public use. Commissioner Strahan expressed that pole barns and structures of that nature should be exempt.

Commissioner Herschend asked what the implementation of the codes would cost as far as inspections? Bob Paulson expressed that these questions do not have to be addressed unless the building code issue passes on the April Ballot. A general discussion ensued.

Presiding Commissioner Pennel stated that there are a lot of questions both positive and negative regarding this issue. Bob Paulson explained that the county does not have to implement the codes they are just getting a feel for what the public would like to see done.

The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

Commissioner Herschend expressed that the next step is to set up a work session to further educate the Commission and the public.

### **BOYS CAMP ROAD ORDINANCE BOB PAULSON**

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to approve the Boys Camp Road Ordinance #06-0117-02.

Bob Paulson, County Counselor explained that the county is attempting to purchase the side of the cliff for safety reasons and make the road wide enough to prevent accidents. Commissioner Herschend added that the ordinance is for an additional right-of-way to take out a bluff that is causing people to swerve into oncoming traffic and that it is not cost effective to take property off the other side of the road to re-direct Boys Camp Road.

Commissioner Strahan stated that two property owners have cooperated and settled with the county. Bob Paulson added that the property owner on the other side of the road wouldn't respond so they have not been able to reach an agreement. Commissioner Herschend asked about a good faith offer? Commissioner Strahan clarified that an offer was made per square foot on that certain grade of road. Herschend added that it would be easy for them to come back and say that it was not a good offer and expressed that the county has been consistent and fair with their offer.

Commissioner Strahan stated that because of urgency, the offer was above what is normally offered and that this situation needs to be fixed as quickly as possible. Commissioner Herschend added that this is not to be taken lightly and that it is a matter of public safety.

Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

### **SALUTE TO LEGISLATORS COUNTY BOOTH - QUILT DONATION**

Bob Paulson, County Counselor stated that at the IDA meeting it was suggested to have a drawing for a quilt to bring people to the county booth at the Salute to Legislators on January 25, 2006. Paulson added that he would have to fill out the proper forms with the Missouri Ethics Commission declaring that the county will be taking donations

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to fill out all forms needed to accept donations for a quilt to use in the drawing at the Salute to Legislators County Booth. The motion died for the lack of a second.

Wiley Barnes, Chamber of Commerce expressed that she appreciated the thought but added that none of the coalition members have ever done give a ways. Barnes then suggested that the Commission speak with the coalition members before moving forward with plans for the drawing. Bob Paulson explained that the IDA thought it would be a good idea because it has never been done before. Barnes said that they have already had there meeting last week but she will look into how the coalition would feel about it. Paulson clarified that it is not a matter of competition. Herschend suggested having sign ups at all booths.

Wiley Barnes explained that this is a welcome to both old and new legislators, and is used to discuss legislative priorities. Herschend expressed that last year 150 people came to the dinner and about 25 of those made it to the booths and was thinking this would be a good way to bring people to the booths. Barnes stated she would be more than happy to discuss it with the coalition and get back to the Commission as soon as she got their opinion. A general discussion ensued. No motions were made and no votes were taken.

### **SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT AND RETENTION HELEN SOUTEE**

Helen Soutee, Treasurer presented the Commission with the following semi annual report pursuant to RSMo 54.150:



County of **TANEY** State of Missouri

TANEY COUNTY TREASURER  
 P. O. BOX 575 • FORSYTH, MO 65653  
 Office: (417) 546-7207 • Fax: (417) 546-6213  
 E-mail: helen@scc.taney.mo.us

FINAL SETTLEMENT AND INVESTMENT REPORT  
 OF TANEY COUNTY TREASURER

HELEN SOUTEE

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005

Total Budget Funds	\$46,558,647.87
Total Treasurer's Funds	\$ 712,019.61
Grand Total All Funds	\$47,270,667.48

The attached report illustrates detailed fund balances, with the far right hand column, (Year to date) providing the breakdown of each fund. Beginning with balances as of January 1, 2005, revenues and expenditures and ending balances per fund as of December 31, 2005. This report is per RSMO 54-150 regarding the semi-annual settlement delivered to the Taney County Commission.

Investments and breakdown of cash on hand by the County Treasurer's Office as of December 31, 2005 are as follows:

Total Cash in Bank Cash Account	\$ 1,825,973.36
Certificates of Deposit	\$ 8,914,162.74
U. S. Agency Investments	\$36,530,531.38
Total All Cash	\$47,270,667.48

Helen Soutee  
 Taney County Treasurer

OFFICE OF HELEN SOUTEE - COUNTY TREASURER



**Taney County**  
**For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2005**

	<u>PERIOD TO DATE</u>	<u>YEAR TO DATE</u>
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL
FUND BALANCES		
GCR FUND BALANCE		\$13,154,890.79
GCR REVENUES	1,143,008.44	10,693,558.20
EXPENDITURES	<u>1,210,492.10</u>	<u>9,836,068.08</u>
TOTAL GCR	(67,483.66)	<u>14,012,380.91</u>
ROAD & BRIDGE FUND BALANCE		6,673,957.30
ROAD & BRIDGE REVENUES	104,893.79	1,294,468.45
EXPENDITURES	<u>42,057.48</u>	<u>501,961.05</u>
TOTAL ROAD & BRIDGE	<u>62,836.31</u>	<u>7,466,464.70</u>
ROAD & BRIDGE TRUST FUND BAL		8,980,798.03
ROAD & BRIDGE TRUST REVENUES	750,946.09	6,281,767.89
EXPENDITURES	<u>2,517,532.45</u>	<u>9,183,827.67</u>
TOTAL ROAD & BRIDGE TRUST	<u>(1,766,586.36)</u>	<u>6,078,738.25</u>
ASSESSMENT FUND BALANCE		19,815.20
ASSESSMENT REVENUES	37,414.21	558,598.36
EXPENDITURES	<u>84,568.54</u>	<u>558,548.04</u>
TOTAL ASSESSMENT	<u>(47,154.33)</u>	<u>19,865.52</u>

ELECTIONS FUND BALANCE		42,943.67
ELECTION REVENUES	151.80	7,083.48
EXPENDITURES	<u>1,935.62</u>	<u>6,120.31</u>
TOTAL ELECTIONS	<u>(1,783.82)</u>	<u>43,906.84</u>
TRANSFER STATION FUND BALANCE		512,938.96
TRANSFER STATION REVENUES	44,183.45	486,214.75
EXPENDITURES	<u>62,686.68</u>	<u>452,853.80</u>
TOTAL TRANSFER STATION	<u>(18,503.23)</u>	<u>546,299.91</u>
LEPC FUND BALANCE		18,323.47
LEPC REVENUE	24.40	5,270.64
EXPENDITURES	<u>262.18</u>	<u>13,046.89</u>
TOTAL LEPC	<u>(237.78)</u>	<u>10,547.22</u>
LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND BALANCE		1,300.78
LAW ENFORCEMENT REVENUES	475.58	9,774.67
EXPENDITURES	<u>40.00</u>	<u>7,287.56</u>
TOTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT	<u>435.58</u>	<u>3,787.89</u>
COUNTY INSURED FUND BALANCE		271,508.27
COUNTY INSURED REVENUES	564.12	6,274.22
EXPENDITURES	<u>8,234.59</u>	<u>76,380.77</u>
TOTAL COUNTY INSURED	<u>(7,670.47)</u>	<u>201,401.72</u>
PROSECUTING AU TRAIN FUND BAL		6,189.36
PROSECUTING AUTRAIN REVENUE	<u>136.07</u>	<u>1,867.83</u>
TOTAL PROSECUTING AUY TRAINING	<u>136.07</u>	<u>8,057.19</u>
FUND BALANCE		1,422,209.59
REVENUES	83,125.52	594,772.01
EXPENDITURES	<u>8,989.37</u>	<u>571,504.79</u>
TOTAL911	<u>74,136.15</u>	<u>1,445,476.81</u>
COUNTY SEWER FUND BALANCE		10,330,399.01
COUNTY SEWER REVENUE	766,387.85	7,104,263.20
EXPENDITURES	<u>416,515.28</u>	<u>1,863,118.04</u>
TOTAL COUNTY SEWER	<u>\$349,872.57</u>	<u>\$15,571,544.17</u>
SEWER DESIGNATED FUND BALANCE		895,442.76
DESIGNATED INTEREST REVENUE		<u>30,007.08</u>
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL SEWER DESIGNATED FUNDS		<u>925,449.84</u>
SHERIFF FUND BALANCE		58,842.49
SHERIFF REVENUE	174.15	52,094.72
EXPENDITURES	<u>4,827.34</u>	<u>38,641.68</u>
TOTAL SHERIFF	<u>(4,653.19)</u>	<u>72,295.53</u>
TAX MAINTENANCE FUND BALANCE		163,669.73
TAX MAINTENANCE REVENUE	1,519.80	101,879.55
EXPENDITURES	<u>379.59</u>	<u>113,117.91</u>
TOTAL TAX MAINTENANCE	<u>1,140.21</u>	<u>152,431.37</u>
TOTAL BALANCE FUNDS	<u>(1,425,515.95)</u>	<u>46,558,647.87</u>

**Taney County**  
**TOTAL TANEY COUNTY TREASURER'S FUNDS**  
**For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2005**

	<u>PERIOD TO DATE</u>	<u>YEAR TO DATE</u>
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL
FUNDS ACTIVITY		
PROSECUTING ATT\BCF - FB		\$125,198.55
REVENUES	5,942.81	52,475.59
EXPENDITURES	<u>508.00</u>	<u>3,369.86</u>
TOTAL PROSECUTING ATT\BCF	<u>5,434.81</u>	<u>174,304.28</u>
LAND SALES SURPLUS - FB		67,174.80
REVENUES	238.32	72,296.10
EXPENDITURES	<u>13,270.15</u>	<u>47,198.38</u>
TOTAL LAND SALES SURPLUS	<u>(13,031.83)</u>	<u>92,272.52</u>
UNCLAIMED FEES FUND BALANCE		8,812.82
REVENUES	30.25	4,963.31
EXPENDITURES		<u>382.00</u>
TOTAL UNCLAIMED FEES	<u>30.25</u>	<u>13,394.13</u>
LLBEG GRANT TRUST FUND BAL		210.08
REVENUES	0.21	4.78
EXPENDITURES	<u>84.69</u>	<u>214.65</u>
TOTAL LLBEG GRANT TRUST FUND	<u>(84.48)</u>	<u>0.21</u>

RAILROAD & UTILITIES FUND		
REVENUES		
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL RAILROAD & UTILITIES		
FLOOD CONTROL FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES		61,806.84
EXPENDITURES		<u>61,806.84</u>
TOTAL FLOOD CONTROL		
COUNTY FINES (DSF) FUND BAL		33,213.00
REVENUES	14,871.55	186,670.30
EXPENDITURES		<u>169,957.81</u>
TOTAL COUNTY FINES (DSF)	<u>14,871.55</u>	<u>49,925.49</u>
AMBULANCE FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES		
EXPENDITURES		
TOTA AMBULANCE		
RSCF FUND BALANCE		1,615.67
REVENUES	223.25	4,027.14
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL RSCF	<u>223.25</u>	<u>5,642.81</u>
CENTRAL FIRE FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES		
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL CENTRAL FIRE		
WESTERN FIRE FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES	2,293.23	2,301.86
EXPENDITURES	<u>2,293.23</u>	<u>2,301.86</u>
TOTAL WESTERN FIRE		

**Taney County**  
**TOTAL TANEY COUNTY TREASURER'S FUNDS**  
**For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2005**

	PERIOD TO DATE ACTUAL	YEAR TO DATE ACTUAL
ROCKAWAY ROADS FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES		
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL ROCKAWAY ROADS		
RECORDER FUND BALANCE		336,125.75
REVENUES	17,041.03	233,276.76
EXPENDITURES	<u>26,533.89</u>	<u>208,264.30</u>
TOTAL RECORDER	(9,492.86)	361,138.21
USE TAX FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES		
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL USE TAX		
PROSECUTING AU DELINT FUND		5,276.87
REVENUES	113.46	784.11
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL PROSECUTING AU DELINQUENT	<u>113.46</u>	<u>6,060.98</u>
STATE CRIMINAL FUND BALANCE		169.62
REVENUES	1.26	353,063.43
EXPENDITURES		<u>352,661.25</u>
TOTALSTATECRIMINAL	<u>1.26</u>	<u>571.80</u>
APP NATIONAL FOREST REVENUES	22,540.37	239,804.26
EXPENDITURES	22,540.37	239,803.12
TOTAL APP NATIONAL FOREST		1.14
NATIONAL FOREST REVENUES		
EXPENDITURESTOTAL NATIONAL FOREST		
HEALTH CENTER REVENUES	908.49	913.31
EXPENDITURES	<u>908.49</u>	<u>913.31</u>
TOTAL HEALTH CENTER		
CERF FUND BALANCE		
REVENUES	44,808.13	693,397.86
EXPENDITURES	<u>44,808.13</u>	<u>693,397.86</u>
TOTAL CERF		
DRUG FUND BALANCE		1,214.91
DRUG REVENUES	2.58	27.76

EXPENDITURES		<u>98.90</u>
TOTAL DRUG	<u>2.58</u>	<u>1,143.77</u>
SHERIFF'S REVOLVING FUND BAL		5,497.81
REVENUES	202.58	2,022.75
EXPENDITURES		
TOTAL SHERIFF'S REVOLVING FUND	<u>202.58</u>	<u>7,520.56</u>
DEPT OF JUSTICE VEST GRANT		4,770.33
REVENUES	4,855.61	4,962.53
EXPENDITURES	<u>9,689.15</u>	<u>9,689.15</u>
TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
VEST GRANT	<u>(4,833.54)</u>	<u>43.71</u>

**Taney County**

**TOTAL TANEY COUNTY TREASURER'S FUNDS  
For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2005**

	PERIOD TO DATE	YEAR TO
	<u>ACTUAL</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>
HANDICAPPED REVENUES	\$586.24	\$589.34
EXPENDITURES	586.24	589.34
TOTAL HANDICAPPED		
CITICS FIN INT REVENUE	3,502.83	3,529.98
EXPENDITURES	3,502.83	3,529.98
TOTAL CITICS FIN INT		
TOTAL TANEY COUNTY TREASURER'S FUNDS	(6,562.97)	712,019.61
TOTAL ALL FUNDS		
TOTAL FUND BALANCES	(1,425,515.95)	46,558,647.87
TOTAL TREASURER'S FUNDS	(6,562.97)	712,019.61
TOTAL ALL FUNDS	(1,432,078.92)	47,270,667.48
TOTAL CASH		
CASH IN BANK	(1,433,016.42)	1,825,973.36
CASH - CERTIFICATES DEPOSIT SEWER		
CASH * CERTIFICATES DEPOSIT		8,914,162.74
AGENCY - INVESTMENT ACCOUNT	937.50	36,530,531.38
CASH - SWEEP ACCOUNT		
TOTAL CASH	(1,432,078.92)	47,270,667.48
PAYABLES		
ACCOUNTS PAYABLE		
FEDERAL WITHHOLDING		
FICA EMPLOYEE		
FICA EMPLOYER		
FUTA		
EMPLOYEE SEP		
MEDICARE EMPLOYEE		
EMPLOYEE MED		
MEDICARE COMPANY		
EMPLOYEE SAV		
STATE WITHHOLDING		
LOCAL WITHHOLDING		
SUTA	(1,809.20)	(29,408.46)
LAGERS PAYBACK 20% TAXES IRS		
DENTAL INS PAYABLE		
MEDICAL INS PAYABLE		
OTHER INS PAYABLE		
LAGERS PAYABLE		
DEFERRED COMP PAYABLE		
CHILD SUPPORT PAYABLE		
OTHER DEDUCTION PAYABLE		
CONTRA SUTA PAYABLE (1XX)	1,315.91	19,269.58
CONTRA SUTA PAYABLE (250)	437.40	8,220.99
CONTRA SUTA PAYABLE (355)	55.89	1,503.19
CONTRA SUTA PAYABLE (460)		262.33
CONTRA SUTA PAYABLE (675)		152.37
TOTAL PAYABLES		

Presiding Commissioner Pennel asked how the sales tax came out and Souttee responded that it is 7% of what it was this time last year.

Commissioner Herschend explained that the GCR Fund Balance is \$13 million but \$10 million of that will be drawn from the fund to go towards the \$23 million courthouse. Herschend further explained that the Sewer Fund would be used for the Bee Creek Project and other major projects. Herschend then asked what the average maturity is? Helen Soutee answered the best rate is between 12 to 24 month period.

Helen Soutee further stated that she presented to the County Clerk, documents from 1997 to 2004 that have met her retention policy. Donna Neeley, County Clerk explained that she has a different set of statutes and must hold them for five whole years. No motions were made and no votes were taken.

### **PRIOR MINUTES**

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to table the minutes from October 24, 31, December 29, and January 5,11,12. Commissioner Pennel seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

### **ACCOUNTS ALLOWED**

Commissioner Pennel made a motion to approve accounts payable warrants #92769-92876 and manual warrants #4447 & 4448. Commissioner Herschend seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

### **AGREEMENT JIM TRAVIS**

Donna Neeley, County Clerk stated that she faxed the agreement with Jim Travis to the Commission Office for their review and that it is the same agreement that Sheila Wyatt, Collector has.

Presiding Commissioner Pennel asked if it is a one-year term? Sheila Wyatt answered that the contract is new and that the county usually pays him as he does work for them. Donna Neeley confirmed that the contract is for a one-year term. Pennel then asked why the county is changing from pay as we go to a contract. Wyatt explained that they have no choice and that other counties have to pay a great deal more for programming services. Wyatt added that the county normally pays \$150 travel time to Jim Travis. Commissioner Pennel asked if there is any big change with the contract? Wyatt responded that there is a little of an increase but not a big difference.

Commissioner Strahan expressed a concern about allotting the full time per trip. Wyatt stated that his trip charge is now \$160 and that she doesn't schedule him unless it is for a full days work. A general discussion ensued.

Commissioner Herschend requested that Sheila Wyatt contact the other office holders who utilize his service to see if they also need him prior to scheduling Travis for



a trip. Herschend expressed that if he is needed for more than one day's work he would rather pay to put him up in a hotel for a night, than end up having to pay for two trip charges. Wyatt expressed that Mr. Travis has been her programmer since 2000 and has never stayed overnight. Herschend clarified that it says in the contract that he will stay overnight. Wyatt expressed that she purposely does not contact the other office holders because when she calls him she knows he will be needed in her office for the whole day. Herschend asked Wyatt if she would contact the Clerk and Auditor to inform them that Travis is coming. Wyatt stated that she would if she did not have a full days work lined out for Jim Travis. A general discussion ensued.

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to table the contract until further clarifications are made on the terms. The motion died for the lack of a second.

Sheila Wyatt stated that she would not change programmers this year. Commissioner Pennel expressed that he doesn't understand why the Commission doesn't just approve this agreement now. Herschend stated that he needs clarity so the other offices can know when Jim Travis is coming down. Donna Neeley suggested adding to the contract that the other offices are to be informed when Jim Travis is coming to Taney County.

Commissioner Strahan made a motion to approve the contract contingent upon amending the contract to say that the other offices are to be contacted when Mr. Jim Travis makes a trip to Taney County. Commissioner Herschend seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).

### MONTHLY BUDGET REPORT RICK FINDLEY

Rick Findley, Auditor stated submitted that following monthly budget report:

<b>TANEY COUNTY BUDGET 12-31-05</b>					
<b>FUND BALANCES</b>					
	<b>PERIOD TO DATE</b>	<b>YEAR TO DATE</b>		<b>PERIOD TO DATE</b>	<b>YEAR TO DATE</b>
<b>GENERAL COUNTY REVENUE</b>			<b>PROS ATT TRAINING FUND</b>		
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	13,154,890.79	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	6,189.36
REVENUES	<u>1,143,008.44</u>	<u>10,693,558.20</u>	REVENUES	<u>136.07</u>	<u>1,867.83</u>
TOTAL REVENUES GCR	<u>1,143,008.44</u>	<u>23,848,448.99</u>	TOTAL PAT REVENUES	<u>136.07</u>	<u>8,057.19</u>
TOTAL GCR EXPENDITURES	<u>1,210,492.10</u>	<u>9,836,068.08</u>	TOTAL PAT FUND BALANCE	136.07	8,057.19
TOTAL GCR FUND BALANCE	(67,483.66)	14,012,380.91			
<b>ROAD &amp; BRIDGE</b>			<b>COUNTY INSURED FUND</b>		
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	6,673,957.30	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	271,508.27
REVENUES	<u>104,893.79</u>	<u>1,294,468.45</u>	REVENUES	564.12	6,274.22
TOTAL RB REVENUES	<u>104,893.79</u>	<u>7,968,425.75</u>	TOT COUNTY INSURED REV	564.12	277,782.49
EXPENDITURES	<u>42,057.48</u>	<u>501,961.05</u>	EXPENDITURES	<u>8,234.59</u>	<u>76,380.77</u>
TOTAL RB FUND BALANCE	62,836.31	7,466,464.70	TOT CNTY INS FUND BAL	(7,670.47)	201,401.72
<b>ROAD &amp; BRIDGE TRUST</b>			<b>911 FUND</b>		
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	8,980,798.03			

REVENUES	<u>750,946.09</u>	<u>6,281,767.89</u>	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	1,422,209.59
TOTAL RBT REVENUES	<u>750,946.09</u>	<u>15,262,565.92</u>	REVENUES	<u>83,125.52</u>	<u>594,772.01</u>
EXPENDITURES	<u>2,517,532.45</u>	<u>9,183,827.67</u>	TOTAL 911 REVENUES	<u>83,125.52</u>	<u>2,016,981.60</u>
TOTAL RBT FUND BALANCE	(1,766,586.36)	6,078,738.25	EXPENDITURES	<u>8,989.37</u>	<u>571,504.79</u>
<b>ASSESSMENT FUND</b>			TOTAL 911 FUND BAL	74,136.15	1,445,476.81
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	19,815.20	<b>SEWER FUND</b>		
REVENUES	<u>37,414.21</u>	<u>558,598.36</u>	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	10,330,399.01
TOTAL AF REVENUES	<u>37,414.21</u>	<u>578,413.56</u>	REVENUES	<u>766,387.85</u>	<u>7,104,263.20</u>
EXPENDITURES	<u>84,568.54</u>	<u>558,548.04</u>	TOTAL SEWER REVENUES	<u>766,387.85</u>	<u>17,434,662.21</u>
TOT ASSESS FUND BAL	(47,154.33)	19,865.52	EXPENDITURES	<u>416,515.28</u>	<u>1,863,118.04</u>
<b>ELECTION FUND</b>			TOTAL SEWER FUND BAL	349,872.57	15,571,544.17
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	42,943.67	<b>SEWER DESIGNATED FUND</b>		
REVENUES	151.80	7,083.48	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	895,442.76
TOTAL ELECTION REVENUES	151.80	50,027.15	REVENUES	<u>0.00</u>	<u>30,007.08</u>
EXPENDITURES	<u>1,935.62</u>	<u>6,120.31</u>	TOTAL SEWER REVENUES	<u>0.00</u>	<u>925,449.84</u>
TOTAL ELEC FUND BAL	(1,783.82)	43,906.84	EXPENDITURES		
<b>TRANSFER STATION FUND</b>			TOTAL SEWER FUND BAL	<u>0.00</u>	<u>925,449.84</u>
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	512,938.96	TOTAL ALL SEWER FUNDS	349,872.57	16,496,994.01
REVENUES	<u>44,183.45</u>	<u>486,214.75</u>	<b>SHERIFF CIVIL FUND</b>		
TOTAL TS REVENUES	<u>44,183.45</u>	<u>999,153.71</u>	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	58,842.49
EXPENDITURES	<u>62,686.68</u>	<u>452,853.80</u>	REVENUES	<u>174.15</u>	<u>52,094.72</u>
TOTAL TS FUND BALANCE	(18,503.23)	546,299.91	TOT SHERIFF CIVIL REV	<u>174.15</u>	<u>110,937.21</u>
<b>LEPC FUND</b>			EXPENDITURES	<u>4,827.34</u>	<u>38,641.68</u>
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	18,323.47	TOT SHERIFF CIVIL FUND BAL	(4,653.19)	72,295.53
REVENUES	<u>24.40</u>	<u>5,270.64</u>	<b>TAX MAINTENANCE FUND</b>		
TOTAL LEPC REVENUES	<u>24.40</u>	<u>23,594.11</u>	BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	163,669.73
EXPENDITURES	<u>262.18</u>	<u>13,046.89</u>	REVENUES	<u>1,519.80</u>	<u>101,879.55</u>
TOTAL LEPC FUND BAL	(237.78)	10,547.22	TOT MAINTENANCE FUND REV	<u>1,519.80</u>	<u>265,549.28</u>
<b>LAW ENFORCE TRAIN FUND</b>			EXPENDITURES	<u>379.59</u>	<u>113,117.91</u>
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	1,300.78	TOT MAINTENANCE FUND BAL	1,140.21	152,431.37
REVENUES	<u>475.58</u>	<u>9,774.67</u>			
TOTAL LET REVENUES	<u>475.58</u>	<u>11,075.45</u>			
EXPENDITURES	<u>40.00</u>	<u>7,287.56</u>			
TOTAL LET FUND BAL	435.58	3,787.89			

## TRANSFER STATION

Commissioner Herschend stated that the work at the Transfer Station is complete and that six men stayed and did an excellent job working.

## HOSPITAL ROAD

Commissioner Herschend asked about the status of Hospital Road? Commissioner Strahan responded that there has not been any contact regarding the issue. Commissioner Pennel stated that there have been concerns mentioned from the public.

Commissioner Strahan suggested meeting with the Hospital Board before making any decisions. Strahan expressed a concern about the lack of interest from Branson Landing who were the ones who created the problem in the first place. Herschend

expressed that everyone has concerns but encouraged the Commission to keep moving forward on the project.

Commissioner Pennel added that everyone needed to start communicating. A general discussion ensued.

Commissioner Herschend suggested going to the entire board and clarifying the counties concerns. Commissioner Pennel suggested writing to the City of Branson to clarify what they are, and are not willing to do in regards to this project.

**RECESS**  
**10:55 A.M.**

**RECONVENE**  
**11:00 A.M.**

### **HOSPITAL ROAD – CONTINUED**

Commissioner Pennel expressed that he would like a more thorough explanation as to what exactly is needed. Bob Paulson suggested the three Commissioners get on the Hospital Board's Agenda to further discuss these issues. Commissioner Strahan added that he would like the Hospital to know were the county, City of Branson, and the public stand concerning this matter. A general discussion ensued. No motions were made and no votes were taken.

**Note:** It was decided that the Ambulance District would be called to see if anyone contacted them in regards to this project.

### **INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION**

Bob Paulson, County Counselor explained that the IDA is entering into an agreement with Dawn Erickson. A general discussion ensued.

Presiding Commissioner Pennel asked what the Commission would be satisfied with at the end of the two years? Commissioner Herschend responded that he would like to see results such as the creation of more jobs. Commissioner Strahan expressed that he is not interested in funding something without having any results. A general discussion ensued. No motions were made and no votes were taken.

**RECESS**

Commissioner Herschend made a motion to recess the County Commission meeting. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Pennel (yes), Herschend (yes), Strahan (yes).