OFFICIAL MINUTES

SEPTEMBER 15, 2011, 20th DAY OF THE JULY ADJOURNED TERM

The County Commission met in the Commissioner's Hearing Room at 8:05 a.m. with Ron Houseman, Danny Strahan and Jim Strafuss present. The following proceedings were had and made a matter of record:

BID AWARD – FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT

Sheriff Jimmie Russell and Purchasing Agent Ron Erickson addressed the Commission. After reviewing the bid they found that all vendors met the criteria. ABL was the low bidder and the Sheriff had a good relationship with them. The Sheriff and Purchasing Director recommended ABL receive the award.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to accept ABL as primary food service management company for the Taney County Jail, per the Sheriff's recommendation.



BID TABULATION FORM

BID AWARD DATE; Thursday September 15, 2011

Central Office? Yes	BIO NUMBER;	201108-147		
100-119 \$1.4670 \$1.773 \$1.49 120-139 \$1.367 \$1.590 \$1.35 140-159 \$1.2410 \$1.480 \$1.27 160-179 \$1.1673 \$1.395 \$1.20 180-199 \$1.1102 \$1.326 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 20071020 Yes Yes Yes Nutrition 2700? Yes Yes Yes Nutrition 2700? Yes <t< th=""><th>INMATE MEALS</th><th>ABL Management Inc. 11224 Boardwalk, Suite B1-5</th><th>A'viande, LLC 1751 County Road 5 West, Suite300</th><th>CBIII Managed Sorvices 500 East 52" Street North</th></t<>	INMATE MEALS	ABL Management Inc. 11224 Boardwalk, Suite B1-5	A'viande, LLC 1751 County Road 5 West, Suite300	CBIII Managed Sorvices 500 East 52" Street North
120-139 \$1.367 \$1.590 \$1.35 140-159 \$1.2410 \$1.480 \$1.27 160-179 \$1.1673 \$1.35 \$1.20 180-199 \$1.1102 \$1.326 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.27 \$1.95 assumation \$1.326 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.273 assumatics? Yes Yes Vestationerration Yes Yes Insurance? Yes Yes Vestationerration Yes Yes Insurance? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Stear Contract? Yes Yes Stear Contract? Yes Yes Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic regularments but the lowest pricing, and anticated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Shariff and I both recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply for d Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the nexit 3 years. Thank you.	B0-99	\$1.6553	\$2.030	\$1,63
140-159 \$1.2410 \$1.480 \$1.27 160-179 \$1.1679 \$1.395 \$1.20 180-199 \$1.1102 \$1.328 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0535 \$1.273 \$1.09 above \$1.26 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0535 \$1.273 \$1.09 above \$1.273 \$1.09 \$1.273 above \$1.073 \$1.09 \$1.273 above \$1.273 \$1.09 \$1.273 above \$1.273 \$1.09 \$1.273 above Yes Yes Yes 3 Year Contract? Yes Yes Yes Recommendation: Green the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic regularments but the lowest pricing, at an and scale in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Sheriff and I bolt recommend the Co	100-119	\$1.4670	\$1.773	\$1.49
160-179 \$1.1679 \$1.395 \$1.20 180-199 \$1.1102 \$1.328 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 2001700 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 2001700 Yes Yes Yes 2001700 Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you. Ron Effecteor 2001700 Service Ma	120-139	\$1.3367	\$1.590	\$1,35
180-199 \$1.102 \$1.328 \$1.14 200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 200maskat persublication maurance? Yes Yes Yes 20mtrail Office? Yes Yes Yes Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic regularments but the lowest pricing, and officated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Shariff and I both recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Teney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you Ron Efficies	140-159	\$1.2410	\$1.480	\$1.27
200-220 \$1.0635 \$1.273 \$1.09 Resident fields 10635 \$1.273 \$1.09 Insurance? Yes Yes Yes Dentral Office? Yes Yes Yes Virtition 2700? Yes Yes Yes Ves Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic regularments but the lowest pricing, at noticated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Sheriff and I both recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the nexit a years. Thank you. Ron Efficience	160-179	\$1.1679	\$1.395	\$1,20
Sconnostal mesurance? Yes Yes Yes Central Office? Yes Yes Yes Central Office? Yes Yes Yes Nutrition 2700? Yes Yes Yes Stear Contract? Yes Yes Yes Recommendation: Green the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic requirements but the lowest pricing, and indicated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Sheriff and I both recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you. Ron Efficience	180 -1 99	\$1.1102	\$1.328	\$1.14
seisublementaria Yes	200-220	\$1.0635	\$1.273	\$1.09
Central Office? Yes Yes Yes Nutrition 2700? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic requirements but the lowest pricing, and officated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Sheriff and I both recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you.				
Nutrition 2700? Yes Yes Yes 3 Year Contract? Yes Yes Yes 3 Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic requirements but the lowest pricing, and indicated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Shariff and I bolt recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you. Recommendation: Given the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you.	nsurance?	Yes	Yes	Үев
Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes				
Recommendation: Given the fact that all three submitted proposals meet the basic regularments but the lowest pricing, as indicated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Sheriff and I bolh recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply food Service Management for the Taney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you. Ron Efficiency Operating Oper Prechasing Oper	Central Office?	Yes	Yes	¥85
ndfoated in the above table, is offered by ABL – the Shariff and I bolh recommend the Commission approve ABL to supply Food Service Management for the Teney County Jail for the next 3 years. Thank you. Ron Evickson Perchasing Dep		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes i raments but the lowest pricing, su
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, at mission approve ABL to supply Ron Evickson
	Recommendation:	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes ramenta but the lowest pricing, as
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, as mission approve ABL to supply Ron Efficiency Parchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, su mission approve ABL, to supply Ron Evictson Purchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, su mission approve ABL to supply Ron Evictson Purchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, su mission approve ABL to supply Ron Evictson Purchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, su mission approve ABL to supply Ron Evictson Purchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, as mission approve ABL to supply Ron Efficiency Parchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, as mission approve ABL to supply Ron Efficiency Parchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, as mission approve ABL to supply Ron Efficiency Parchasing Dep
	Nutrition 2700? B Year Contract? Recommendation: Indicated in the above	Yes Yes Given the fact that all three sol table, is offered by ABL – the S	Yes Yes whited proposals meet the basic requi heriff and I both recommend the Com	Yes Yes remember but the lowest pricing, as mission approve ABL to supply Ron Efficiency Parchasing Dep

Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion for discussion. Jimmie Russell stated that if changes were requested, notification would be submitted in writing and the change would be made within 30 days. The motion passed by vote: Houseman (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

AGENDA REQUESTS REVIEW

The Commission reviewed agenda requests with Jillian Rulon. Commissioner Strafuss moved to postpone Agenda Requests Review to a definite time of 8:30 a.m. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Houseman (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

BID AWARD – CISCO SERVERS

Interim IS Director Marc Rys and Ron Erickson addressed the Commission. Ron Erickson stated that the County had received one bid from Alexander Open Systems (AOS), with a submission of \$13,124.28.

Marc Rys stated that the bid was the same as the initial quote. Del and HP had also been contacted. Mr. Rys recommended the bid be awarded to AOS.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to award the Cisco Servers bid to AOS. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Houseman (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

RECESS 8:23 AM

RECONVENED 8:32 AM

AGENDA REQUESTS REVIEW

The Commission continued the review of agenda requests with Jillian Rulon.

EXECUTIVE SESSION – PERSONNEL PER SECTION 610.021 (3)

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go into Executive Session pursuant to RSMo 610.021 (3). Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Houseman (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

EXECUTIVE SESSION 8:42 AM

See Executive Session Minutes for actions, if any.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go out of Executive Session. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Houseman (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

OUT OF EXECUTIVE 9:05 AM

BID AWARD – AVIATION FUEL

Mark Parent with the Taney County Airport and Purchasing Agent Ron Erickson addressed the Commission to present bids for aviation fuel. Both Mark Parent and the Purchasing Director recommended Naegler Oil receive the award.

Commissioner Strahan moved to award Naegler Oil Company the aviation fuel bid as presented.

BID FOR; Aviation Fuel Supplier BID NUMBER; 201107-140 Evaluation and Award Process The Tanay County Aliport Board and the County Purchaseing Director will review responders and make a formal recommendation for award to the County Country aliport Board and the evaluation there will review responders and make a formal recommendation for award to the County Country aliport Board and the evaluation there will review responder a secondaria downard Process the responder of the proposet in accordance with the evaluation of Helds fitted below. (The workshop review recepted on a secondaria (2011) review of Helds Field Delaw) CRITERA POINT TARGET Points Awarded To: When measure of Helds Field Delaw) Points Awarded To: Water of County County County Aliport Board and the Steed Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Expertise ZO ZO ZO Points Awarded To: Water of Helds Field Delaw) Experience/Exp	BID AWARD DATE;	Thursday September	15, 2011		
Evaluation and Award Process The Tarrey County Aliport Board and the County Purchasing Diractor will review responded and make a formal recommendation for event to the County Commission. The evaluation tame will conduct a comparison and make a formal recommendation for event to the County Commission. The evaluation process war roughed an Section 21, 2011 multi of what we keed Maxu CRITERA POINT TARGET Points Awarded To: Weather of the induction process for roughed an Section 21, 2011 multi of what we keed Maxu CRITERA POINT TARGET Points Awarded To: Weather of the induct of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the induct of the proposed in the proposed in the county of the induct of the proposed in the induct of the induct of the induct of the proposed in the induct of the in	BID FOR;	Aviation Fuel Supplie	r		
The Tarrey County Aliport Board and the Cauniy Parchaeling Director vali review responses and make a formal recommendation for revert to the County Commission. The evaluation mean will conduct a comparetive ascensulation of the proposals in accordance with the evaluation offents stated below: (this weakedo process we revealed as September 4, 2011 matter of what we keel potent) CRITERA POINT TARGET Negative for the second process we revealed as the proposal of the	BID NUMBER;	201107-140			
ervaluation charms commission. The evaluation many will conduct a comparison exact access while of the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the evaluation of their section in the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to wait we have been that any the proposes in a sectional to be provide the proposes in a sectional to be provide the propose in the propose of the provide to be provide to be provide the provide to be		<u>Evaluation</u>	and Award Process		
A Guerative of fold Rein Expertience/Expontise TOTS Such Extrain Expertience/Expontise TOTS Such Extrain Expertience/Expontise Expertience/Expontise 20 20 10 Operational Plan 30 10 30 10 Recommender Quilifications 20 5 20 5 TotrALS: 80 45 80 35 Recommender for deficion: The above table reflects the results as determined by the Taney County Airport Board upon comp of their availation process required within RFP# 20107-140, for an Aviation Fael Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Taney County Airport Board is recommending that the Occumiseion sward to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	eward to the County Commiss the evaluation offentie stated b	and to the Country Commission. The evaluation team will conduct a comparative assessminent of the proposet in accordance woll evaluation criteria traited below: (the wavedoe process was required to September 14, 2011 require or what we listed below: Propried A POINT TADGET Points Awarded To: Poi			
Consectional Plan 30 10 30 10 30 10 Solution S			A Subscribery of Model RAW Services, for 3 (DAV Midlington Dr.	3023 South Scattio	
Technical Support 20 5 20 5 Tachnical Support 10 10 10 10 10 TOTALS: 80 45 80 35 Recommendation: The above table reflects the results as determined by the Taney County Airport Board upon comp of their availation process required within RFP# 20107-140, for an Aviation Fuel Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Taney County Airport Board is recommanding that the Octomission sward to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	Experience/Expertise		20		
Technical Support 20 3 20 10 Technical Support 10 10 10 10 10 TOTALS: 80 45 80 35 Recommendation process required within RFP# 201107-140, for an Aviation Fuel Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Taney County Airport Board upon composite the Taney County Airport Board is recommanding that the Countission sward to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	Operational Plan	30		30	
TOTALS: 80 45 80 35 Recommended bioh: The above table reflects the results as determined by the Tanay County Airport Board upon comport their evaluation process required within RFD# 201107-140, for an Aviation Fuel Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Tanay County Airport Board is recommanding that the Commission sward to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	References (Qualifications	20	5		
Recommendation: The above table reflects the results as determined by the Tanay County Aimon Board upon comp of their evaluation process required within RFD# 201107-140, for an Aviation Fuel Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Tanay County Aimont Board is recommanding that the Commission sward to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	Technical Support	10	10	10	01
of their evaluation process required within RFP# 201107-140, for an Aviation Fuel Supplier. Based on the field achieved values the Tanay County Airport Board is recommanding that the Commission award to Naegler Oil Co of Springfield.	TOTAL	.S: 80	45	80	35
Ron Eric Purchasing Taney Coun	Recommendation: The	s required within RFP# 20110	17-140, for an Avlation F	uel Supplier. Based on	the fished achieved p

Commissioner Strafuss seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Houseman (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

EXECUTIVE SESSION – CONTRACTS PER SECTION 610.021 (12)

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go into Executive Session pursuant to RSMo 610.021 (12). Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Houseman (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

EXECUTIVE SESSION 9:16 AM

See Executive Session Minutes for actions, if any.

Commissioner Strafuss moved to go out of Executive Session. Commissioner Strahan seconded the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote: Houseman (aye), Strafuss (aye) and Strahan (aye).

OUT OF EXECUTIVE 10:09 AM

RECESS 10:09 AM

RECONVENED 10:15 AM

PRELIMINARY BUDGET DISCUSSION

Auditor Rick Findley addressed the Commission regarding the 2012 Budget. Commissioner Houseman stated that the two issues to discuss were the Sewer District Budget and the overall Budget. He asked how soon the Final Budget Hearing would be. Rick stated he had to give the Preliminary Budget to the Commission by November 15th. Commissioner Houseman asked for hard copies of what had been requested. Mr. Findley said he had a spreadsheet with all the information, with the entire budget being 50 to 60 pages. Commissioner Houseman asked how many departments submitted budget requests. Rick Findley stated that some offices submit multiple budget requests, but most have a single budget request. Commissioner Houseman requested specific details on the General County Revenue Fund, including Road and Bridge.

The Auditor said that according to statutes, if the budget requests were not submitted by Sept. 1st, then by Sept. 10th the Auditor would submit the request.

Commissioner Houseman stated that the Commission had been formally advised that they needed to know all expenses and revenues broken down by personnel, etc. Discussion ensued.

Commissioner Strafuss stated that the Commission needed to know the budget, so they would know what funds were needed to run the utility created within the sewer district. Commissioner Houseman said utility dollars were separate and not a part of County funds. Discussion ensued.

The Commission would like to present something to the Sewer Board on Tuesday. Rick Findley said the Sewer would be very close to last year's budget, as revenue was a determining factor. Commissioner Strafuss stated it appeared the budget would need to be 3% down from this year, which could still be more than revenue in 2010.

Commissioner Strahan moved to adjourn. Commissioner Strafuss seconded the motion. The motion passed by vote: Houseman (aye), Strahan (aye) and Strafuss (aye).

ADJOURNED 10:59 AM

Minutes were taken and typed by Lyn Wieneke, Deputy Clerk.